

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-II
DISTRICT-Katihar
(A.B.P. No. 361/2026)

In the matter of

1. Kumar Priya Ranjan, S/o Late Ram Bilash Mahto
2. Kumari Alpana @ Alpana Kumari D/o Yamuna Prasad
Both are R/o- Vill- Mashad Post- Jagdishpur, P.S.- Ghosi, Distt.- Jahanabad.
.....**Accused/petitioners.**

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Katihar Nagar P.S. Case No. 638/2025
U/S- 316(2), 318(4) of the B.N.S.
Ld. Advocate for petitioners- Shri Arbind Kumar Singh
Ld. A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Azaj Alam

ORDER

16.05.2026- This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on the behalf of accused-petitioners namely Kumar Priya Ranjan and Kumari Alpana @ Alpana Kumari who are apprehending their arrest in connection with aforesaid case.

Heard the Ld. counsel Shri Arbind Kumar Singh appearing on the behalf of the petitioners and Ld. A.P.P. Shri Md. Azaj Alam on the behalf of the state.

According to informant, prosecution case in nutshell is that informant to this case namely Subrat Guha lodged a written report before S.H.O. Katihar Nagar alleging therein that through his friend namely Chandan Kumar he came to know about KALP, I.A.S., Institute which was being operated by the director of Priya Ranjan Kumar and his other associate namely Alpana Kumari (both accused-petitioners). Informant has further alleged that after several time negotiation he paid total two lakh sixty thousands rupees to the petitioners for granting a franchise of said coaching in the name of his daughter Soumya Samridhi. Informant has further alleged that an agreement was also drafted in which Rs. Two lakh has been mentioned but rest sixty thousand rupees was paid to the house owner of that house which was taken on rent for coaching institute. Informant has further alleged that but due to lack of online and offline admission the said institute could not be operating. So, he demanded his invested amount Rs. 2,60,000/- and accused-petitioners assured to return the said amount to him. But later on they left to receive his telephonic call. Later on, they also took away all the articles kept in the office situated at Amla Tola. Informant has further stated that petitioner do not want to return back his said amount.

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that the petitioners are quite innocent and have not committed any offences. He has further submitted that the petitioner no. 1 is a Director and the petitioner no. 2 is Management Head of "KALP I.S.A." institute under Ram Bilash Mahto Welfare Foundation. The petitioners were running the institute at Patna with different branches and in the meantime informant

came to contact of petitioners, who expressed his desire to open and run a center at Katihar because his wife is also a teacher. He has further submitted that after passing sometime both agreed to management of “KALP I.A.S.” institute with Subrat Guha. Subrat Guha will run the Institute at Katihar and the management of institute will provide infrastructure set up and petitioners have provided all the infrastructure in well manner and they spend near about Rupees Five Lakhs. He has further submitted that after taking the franchise, the informant and his local partners could not manage it and resulting the institute could not runs properly, but informant always assured, it will run properly. He has further submitted that all of a sudden petitioners came to know that informant and his local associate closed the institute and stolen the valuable infrastructure of Katihar Branch, then they filed a complaint Case no. 3714/2025 dated 12.03.2025 before the Ld. Court of C.J.M., Patna. After knowing about said complaint case the informant lodged this false ad fabricated case and managing F.I.R. because, he is journalist. He has further submitted that according to agreement and facts it is clear that nature of the this case of Civil matter and its jurisdiction goes to Civil Judge only. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the said anticipatory bail petition.

Per contra, Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard both parties and perused the case record. From the perusal of the case record it is evident that informant has alleged that for taking the franchise of KALP, I.A.S., institute he paid Rs. 2,00,000/- to the petitioners and he also paid Rs. 60,000/- to the owner of house which was taken on rent for said coaching. But later on due to lack of admission said coaching could not be operative. So, informant demanded said amounts from the petitioners. So from the said facts, it appears that the nature of the instant matter is of Civil nature. Furthermore, informant has never alleged that accused-petitioners induced him with dishonest intention and thereby cheated his said amount. Further, earlier to this one of the petitioner has filed the case against the informant to this case.

So having considered the above facts and submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby allowed and accordingly it is ordered to release the petitioners namely Kumar Priya Ranjan and Kumari Alpana @ Alpana Kumari on bail in the case of surrender/arrest within three weeks of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the condition as mentioned in the section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S..

**Addl. Sessions. Judge-II
Katihar**

Date of Order	16.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A

Katihar Nagar P.S. Case No. 638/2025
U/S 316(2), 318(4) of the B.N.S.
Accused Name- Kumar Priya Ranjan and Kumari Alpana @ Alpana Kumari
P.O.-Asutosh Rai. A.S.J.-II, Katihar
A.B.P. NO. 361/2026

3

Uploading Date	27.05.2026
Uploaded By	Prakash Kumar Singh, Steno D.A.S.J.-II, Katihar