

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 348/2026
(Arising out of Amdabad P.S. Case No. 32/2026)

Tarikul Alam

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Md. Ahsan, Advocate
Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 30.05.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Tarikul Alam, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Amdabad P.S. Case No. 32/2026 for the offences registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 85, 3(5) of BNS and Sec. 3, 4 of D.P. Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner have no any criminal history. That there is no specific allegations against the petitioner. That the petitioner never demanded any dowry or he torture her. That the petitioner is ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour. The petitioner is local man and no chance of their absconding. The petitioner are ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the informant alleges that after her marriage in 2020, her husband and in-laws subjected her to cruelty and demanded Rs. 2 lakh, a fridge and other articles as dowry. She was allegedly assaulted, driven out of her matrimonial home and separated from her daughter. The husband allegedly threatened to divorce her and remarry. On 31.01.2026, the accused persons allegedly came to her parental home and repeated the dowry demand and threatened her. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State and perused the Case Diary. The present Amdabad

P.S. Case No. 32/2026 for the offences registered U/S 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(2), 351(3), 85, 3(5) of BNS and Sec. 3, 4 of D.P. Act. against the accused person. The allegations are general and omnibus in nature. The allegations primarily relate to dowry demand and cruelty and no serious physical injury. The allegations regarding the proposed second marriage are hearsay in nature and not supported by any specific material in the complaint. The petitioner has no any criminal history. The petitioner is ready to keep his wife with full dignity and honour and he is ready to cooperate into investigation of this case. There is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence by the petitioner.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is hereby **allowed** and the petitioner in the event of her arrest or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
30.05.2026