

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
BAIL PETITION NO. 197/2026
(Arising out of Barari P.S. Case No. 333/2024)

Md. Salamuddin

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Md. Nazrul Hasan, Advocate
Sri Panchanand Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 23.05.2026

ORDER

A regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely Md. Salamuddin, who is in jail custody since 16.01.2026 in connection with Barari (Semapur) P.S. Case No. 333/2024 for the offence registered U/S 310(2), 317(3) of the B.N.S. and 25(1-B)A, 26, 27, 35 of Arms Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State. The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. Petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner came from the confessional statement of the co-accused. The petitioner is never involved in the said case while he engaged as labour worker and work out of station. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.01.2026. Petitioner is local man and no chance of his absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that on 20.11.2024 at about 06:50 PM, the informant was going towards the bank after recovery of loan amount. On the way, six unknown persons on two motorcycles started chasing him. One of the accused fired a shot in the air and threatened him with a pistol and forcibly snatched his bag containing documents, fingerprint device and cash amounting to Rs. 65,169/- and fled away. Hence, the present case.

Heard the Ld. Counsel for both the parties. The present Barari (Semapur) P.S. Case no. 333/2024 has been registered U/S 317(3) of the B.N.S. and 25(1-B)A, 26, 27, 35 of Arms Act. The petitioner is not named accused of the FIR, but the name of this petitioner transpired during investigation and as per seizure list, one loaded country-made pistol (desi katta), one live cartridge and one Realme Android mobile phone containing Airtel company Sim have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Though the petitioner is in judicial custody since 16.01.2026 and investigation is complete and charge-sheet has been submitted, but allegations are serious in nature. The bail of other co-accused has also been rejected by this Court as well as other Court. There is chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence by this petitioner.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove and keeping in mind the seriousness of accusation and gravity of offences, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the above named accused petitioner stands **rejected**.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
23.05.2026