

Pranpur P.S. Case No. 268/2026
U/S 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
Accused Name- Kishan Lal & Others
P.O.-Asutosh Rai, A.S.J.-II, Katihar
A.B.P. NO. 282/2026

1

IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE-II
DISTRICT-Katihar
(A.B.P. No. 282/2026)

In the matter of

1. Kishan Lal Murmu, 2. Arun Kumar Yadav, 3. Gautam Kumar Sah, 4. Ram Lal Marandi, 5. Raju Marandi and 6. Babloo Marandi

.....Accused/petitioners.

Vs

State of Bihar.....Opposite Party.

Pranpur Case No. 268/2026

U/S- 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

Ld. Advocate for petitioners- Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh

Ld. A.P.P. for the State- Shri Md. Azaj Alam

ORDER

12.05.2026- This anticipatory bail petition has been filed on the behalf of accused-petitioners namely **1. Kishan Lal Murmu, 2. Arun Kumar Yadav, 3. Gautam Kumar Sah, 4. Ram Lal Marandi, 5. Raju Marandi and 6. Babloo Marandi** who are apprehending their arrest in connection with aforesaid case.

Heard the Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh appearing on the behalf of the petitioners and Ld. A.P.P. Shri Md. Azaj Alam on the behalf of the state.

According to F.I.R. prosecution case in nutshell is that informant to this case namely Sushil Chandrashekhar lodged a written report before S.H.O. Pranpur alleging therein that accused petitioner namely Ramlal Marandi sold total 32 decimal 733 square Kari land to him on dated 01.07.2025 through registered Sale deed number 12980 and identifier in the said sale-deed is one accused namely Raju Marandi who is the younger son of Ramlal Marandi. Informant has further alleged that he filed a petition for the mutation of the said purchased land in Anchal office Pranpur but elder brother Ramlal Marandi namely Bablu Marandi file a petition against his petition and got his application registered. After that Ramlal Marandi and his brother Bheemlal Marandi partitioned said land and later on Ramlal Marandi sold 25 decimal his purchased land to the Bablu Marandi through registered sale-deed and identifier of the said sale deed Kishan Lal Murmu. Further Ramlal Marandi sold the said land to Gautam Kumar Sah and Arun Kumar Yadav from the said khata plot and the Kishan Lal Murmu is the identifier in the said sale-deed. Informant has further alleged that in the collusion of each other and after committing fraud accused persons have sold and purchased land and having committed cheating with him..

On the other side learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated all the grounds taken in the bail petition and has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence at all and have falsely been implicated in this case. He has further submitted that the matter relates to land dispute but as the matter of fact the informant has got a false sale deed 12980 but in the said deed one Raju Marandi who is the son of petitioner Ram Lal Marandi the aforesaid Raju Marandi is the Sanakhatdar. He has further submitted that the said land mentioning the Khata, Khesara and area while the informant wanted to mutated the land before the C.O. Pranpur which has been rejected by the C.O. Pranpur. He has further submitted that the litigation regarding the said land was continue and as such one of the petitioner Ramlal Marandi also filed T.S.

No. 45/2026 pending in the court of the Sub-Judge, 1st and whereas, one Fulmani Devi she is the daughter of the Ramlal Marandi also filed C.A. no. 2292/2025 against informant and others pending in the court of A.D.J.-1st-cum-spl Judge, S.C./S.T. Act. He has further submitted that all the offences are bailable except section 316(2), 318(4) and 336(3) of the B.N.S. but there is no part on behalf of the petitioners for the alleged cheat of fraud regarding the land while the informant falsely claim the said land. The learned C.O. Pranpur also found the claim is wrong, the learned C.O. cancelled the mutation of informant. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that from record it is quite clear that Kishan Lal Murmu, Raju Marandi are only the identifier of the alleged sale-deeds. While accused namely Arun Kumar Yadav, Gautam Kumar Sah and Bablu Marandi are the subsequent purchaser of alleged land purchased by the informant to this case. Further, informant has never alleged that Ramlal Marandi dishonestly induced him to purchase the said land. Moreover, in the case of Md. Ibrahim Vs. State of Bihar Hon'ble Apex Court has clearly observe that in such type of cases there is no application of section 420 of the I.P.C. i.e. 318(4) of the B.N.S. Further, no case is made out u/s 316(2), 338, 336(3), 340(2), of the B.N.S. Accused-petitioners have got no criminal antecedent. Accordingly, it has been prayed to allow the said anticipatory bail petition.

Per contra, Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Heard the both parties and perused the record from perusal of the case record it is evident that first of all informant purchase the land of khata number 198 khasra number 1407 total area 32 decimal 733 square Kari from Ramlal Marandi through registered sale-deed dead number 12980 but after that Ramlal Marandi sold the said land to the Bablu Marandi and Gautam Kumar Sah and Arun Kumar Yadav in which identifier is Kishan Lal murmu and Raju Marandi. While from record it is evident that the nature of this case is of civil nature. Further informant has never alleged that he was induced by the accused petitioner in very inception for committing fraud with him. Further, from record it is quite clear that any false documents have not been created by the present petitioners.

So having considered the above facts and submissions made by the Ld. Counsel for the petitioners, the instant anticipatory bail petition is hereby allowed and accordingly it is ordered to release the petitioners namely **1. Kishan Lal Murmu, 2. Arun Kumar Yadav, 3. Gautam Kumar Sah, 4. Ram Lal Marandi, 5. Raju Marandi and 6. Babloo Marandi** on bail in the case of surrender/arrest within three weeks of this order on furnishing of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned subject to the condition as mentioned in the section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S..

**Addl. Sessions. Judge-II
Katihar**

**Memo No..... Dated.....
Copy forwarded to, Katihar.**

**Addl. Sessions. Judge-II
Katihar**

Pranpur P.S. Case No. 268/2026
U/S 316(2), 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.
Accused Name- Kishan Lal & Others
P.O.-Asutosh Rai. A.S.J.-II, Katihar
A.B.P. NO. 282/2026

3

Date of Order	12.05.2026
Date of Reserving Order	N/A
Uploading Date	22.05.2026
Uploaded By	Prakash Kumar Singh, Steno D.A.S.J.-II, Katihar