

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION No. 212/2026
(Arising out of C.A. Case No. 2486/2022)

Md. Mehbood Alam

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-

Sri S.R. Sultania, Advocate

Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 04.06.2026

ORDER

An anticipatory bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Md. Mehbood Alam, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with C.A. Case No. 2486/2022 for the offences registered U/S 498(A) of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner have submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner have been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is innocent and he has not committed any offence at all and have been falsely implicated in this case. That there is GR Case No. 3513/2015 and 1776/18 pending against the petitioner before the S.D.J.M., Katihar and in the above cases the petitioner is on bail. That the petitioner have no any criminal antecedent. Petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the court satisfaction. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner have committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution story as per fardbayan, is that the complainant married the petitioner on 18.02.2020 according to Muslim rites and customs. After marriage, she allegedly discovered that the petitioner had concealed his previous three marriages. It is further alleged that after a few months of cordial marital life, the petitioner and his family members demanded Rs. 2 lakhs and a motorcycle as dowry. Although, the complainant's father paid Rs. 50,000/-, the accused persons allegedly subjected her to cruelty and assault and ultimately drove her out of her matrimonial home on 25.07.2021, whereafter she started residing at her parental house. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add.P.P. for the State and perused the LCR. From perusal of the same, it transpires that the case has been registered U/S 498(A) of IPC and Section 3/4 of D.P. Act. The petitioner is the husband and there is specific allegations of cruelty and dowry demand. The petitioner is alleged to have concealed his previous three marriages before marrying the complainant. The petitioner is accused of subjecting the complainant to physical and mental cruelty. The complainant's father allegedly paid Rs. 50,000/- which lends support to the allegation of dowry demand. Moreover, petitioner did not turn up for mediation even after several opportunity granted to him. The offence alleged against the accused is serious in nature.

Hence, considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and discussions made hereinabove, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the above named accused petitioner stands **rejected.**

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)

D.A.S.J.-III, Katihar

04.06.2026