

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT & ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-III, KATIHAR
ANTICIPATORY BAIL PETITION NO. 144/2026
(Arising out of Katihar Mahila P.S. Case No. 15/2024)

Md. Tauhidur Rahman

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner:-
Ld. Counsel for the State :-

Sri Ravindra Kumar, Advocate
Sri Akhilesh Singh, APP

Date of hearing/Disposal: 19.05.2026

ORDER

A regular bail petition has been filed on behalf of the accused petitioner namely (1) Md. Tauhidur Rahman, who is apprehending his arrest in connection with Katihar Mahila P.S. Case No. 15/2024 for the offences registered U/S 341, 323, 504, 506, 498(A) of IPC and Sec. 120(B) of IPC and 3/4 of D.P. Act. The copy of the same has already been duly served to the Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.

Heard the Ld. counsel for the petitioner and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

The Ld. counsel of the petitioner has submitted that no B.P. or A.B.P. on behalf of the accused petitioner has been filed or moved earlier before this court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna. The petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence and he has been falsely implicated in this case. That the petitioner has no any criminal history. That the petitioner being the legally married husband of informant. That petitioner also filed the case vide Abadpur P.S. Case No. 84/2024. That the petitioner never made any dowry demand. The cases has been compromised between the parties. The petitioner is local man and no chance of his absconding. The petitioner is ready to furnish local and solvent bailors to the satisfaction of the court. Accordingly, prayed to grant bail.

On the other hand, the Ld. Addl. P.P. vehemently opposed the prayer of A.B.P. filed on behalf of the above named accused petitioner and submitted that the petitioner has committed the alleged offence under well planned manner. Hence, it is requested to reject the petition of the above named accused petitioner.

The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the informant, Chhoti Khatoon alleges that after her marriage with Tauhidur Rahman, she came to know that he was already married to Ajmeri Khatoon. Her husband and her in-laws and co-wife allegedly subjected her to cruelty and demanded Rs. 5,00,000/- and one motorcycle as dowry. On 09.02.2024, the accused persons allegedly assaulted her, drove her and her infant daughter out of the matrimonial home and threatened to kill if the dowry demand was not fulfilled. on 10.02.2026, when her father approached the accused persons for

settlement, they allegedly refused to keep her without dowry and extended threats to murder. Hence, the present case.

Heard rival submission of the Ld. counsel on behalf of the accused petitioner and the Ld. Add. P.P. for the State and perused the Case Diary. The present Katihar Mahila P.S. Case No. 15/2024 has been registered U/S 341, 323, 504, 506, 498(A) of IPC and Sec. 120(B) of IPC and 3/4 of D.P. Act against the accused person. The petitioner is named accused of the FIR, but there is general and omnibus allegation against this petitioner. The petitioner has not any criminal antecedent. The accused is the husband of the informant and there is no specific allegation of assault or demand of dowry against the petitioner. Furthermore, the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily by both the parties without any pressure. He is ready to co-operate into investigation and trial of this case and there is no chance of absconding or tampering with the evidence by the petitioner.

Hence, considering the above facts and circumstances of the case, the present anticipatory bail petition of the above named accused petitioner is hereby **allowed** and the petitioner in the event of her arrest or surrender **within four (4) weeks of this order**, shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court.

(Sd/-)

(Sunil Kumar Singh-III)
D.A.S.J.- III, Katihar
19.05.2026