

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 2445/2025/ Filing No. 10200/2025)**

In re:

1. Udal Bind, aged 19 years,
S/o Kharpat Bind,
2. Somaru Bind, aged 23 years,
S/o Kharpat Bind,
3. Sunil Bind, aged 21 years,
S/o Kharpat Bind,
4. Kharpat Bind, aged 50 years,
S/o Late Faudar Bind,
All R/o Vill- Sarangpur, P.S- Bhabua, Distt.- Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 951/2025
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,117(2),
303(2),352,351(2),3(5) BNS,
Date Of Order: 26.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Ajit Kumar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf and the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence and in fact the informant has encroached the land of the petitioners & for removal of the same encroachment, the petitioners approached the SDO Bhabua, and due to this reason in order to create pressure, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case, moreover, all the sections invoked in this case areailable except section 109, 303 BNS but injury sustained by the injured are simple in nature and the allegation of commission of theft is super-addition.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 20.11.2025 at around 05:00 PM, the informant was collecting paddy crop near his chamber in his agriculture field. In the meantime, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners moved their cattle in his agriculture field and the animals started destroying the paddy crop. When informant objected, all the accused persons started abusing him and Petitioner no. 2 Sumaru Bind, assaulted the son of informant with lohbanda and injured him. When informant along with his another son came to rescue of his injured son then, Petitioner no. 3 also assaulted them with lohbanda. The injury report of the injured Santosh Kumar is mentioned in para 35 of case diary showing one lacerated injury over head which is simple in nature caused by HBS and injury to another injured is swelling on left hand and as per opinion, no obvious injury was seen. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a land dispute is going on between the parties. It is further submitted that from the nature of accusation as well as injury report, no case u/s 109 of BNS is made out against the accused/petitioners. They are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge