

**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE-IX
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA**

Anticipatory Bail Petition No. 1053 of 2026
Arising out of Chand PS Case No.-207 of 2026
u/s- 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2) of BNS & 27 Arms Act

1. Ajit Singh @ Bittu Singh, 2. Ghan Shyam Singh, 3.
Amit Singh @ Tuntun Singh, 4. Radheshyam Singh & 5.
Vikash Singh.....Petitioners
Vs
State of Bihar

Appearance: Sri Dau Jee, Ld. Addl. P.P. for the State.
Sri Ramdular Singh ld. counsel for the Petitioners

18.07.2026

This anticipatory bail petition is filed by petitioners **1. Ajit Singh @ Bittu Singh, 2. Ghan Shyam Singh, 3. Amit Singh @ Tuntun Singh, 4. Radheshyam Singh & 5. Vikash Singh** who apprehend their arrest in connection with Chand PS Case No.-207 of 2026 registered u/s- 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2) of BNS & 27 Arms Act pending in the court of Ms. Pratima Kumari ld. J.M.-Ist Class Bhabhua, Kaimur.

Learned counsel on behalf of the petitioners submits that the petitioners have not filed any application for grant of anticipatory bail either before Hon'ble High Court, Patna or before ld. District & Sessions Judge, Kaimur. The petitioners are quite innocent and have committed no offence as alleged by the prosecution. All prosecution story is false and concocted. The petitioners are ready to fulfill the condition laid u/s 482 of BNSS. The petitioners are law abiding persons. So there is no chance of their absconding or tampering with the evidence. The petitioners are local men of means and ready to furnish sufficient bail bond with sound and fit sureties as per order and direction of court. Hence, they may be granted on bail.

Ld. APP on behalf of the state vehemently opposed the bail petition and submitted that the petitioners do not deserve to be released on bail.

Heard and perused. As per the FIR, allegations against the petitioners in brief, are that on 24.05.2026, the petitioners were cutting a sesame tree situated on a Government land in front of the agricultural land of the informant on road side with some unknown persons. The informant raised protest upon which the petitioners hurled abuses, pushed her on ground; and petitioner Ghan Shyam Singh assaulted on her head from the butt of a

rifle. Petitioner Radheyshyam Singh and Amit Singh also assaulted her. When the husband of informant came there, petitioner Radheyshyam Singh fired at him which did not hit the husband of informant. Thereafter, the petitioners assaulted the informant and her husband with iron rod and butt of rifle.

Heard and Perused. FIR has been registered u/s 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2) of BNS & 27 Arms Act. There are allegations of causing assault to the informant and her husband against all the petitioners. As per the injury report the informant has sustained injury in the occurrence which is as follows:- (i) a swelling over left parietal region measuring 1" x 1"; (ii) abrasion over left elbow. The injuries are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. Further, case diary prima-facie discloses that the occurrence of firing, actually, did not take place. This case is said to be counter blast case of Chand PS Case no. 206/2026.

Hence, in view of the facts and circumstances as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. Hence, petitioners are directed to be released on anticipatory bail in case of their arrest or surrender within four weeks from the date of receipt of this order on furnishing the bail bonds of **Rs. 10,000/-** each along with two sureties of like amount subject to satisfaction of the Ms. Pratima Kumari Id. J.M.-Ist Class Bhabhua, Kaimur. The petitioners are further directed to comply with the conditions as laid down u/s 482(2) of BNSS.

Let a copy of this order be sent to the court of Ms. Pratima Kumari Id. J.M.-Ist Class Bhabhua, Kaimur.

(Dictated)
Sd/-
(Harshbardhan)
Addl. Sess. Judge-IX
Kaimur at Bhabhua