

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 958/2026/ Filing No. 3991/2026)**

In re:

1. Raju Ansari @ Iftekhar Alam, aged 37 years,
S/o Gulam Ansari,
R/o Vill- Hata, P.O- Hata, P.S- Chainpur, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

(ABP No. 980/2026/ Filing No. 4077/2026)

In re:

1. Ashiya Khatun, aged 18 years,
S/o Murtuza Ansari,
2. Rashid Ansari, aged 20 years,
S/o Murtuza Ansari,
3. Waris Ansari, aged 24 years,
S/o Murtuza Ansari,
4. Murtuza Ansari, aged 51 years,
D/o, Late Sattar Ansari,
R/o Vill- Ruiya, P.S- Bhabua, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

**FIR No: 507/2026
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 80,3(5) BNS
Date Of Order: 01.07.2026**

-
1. Heard Sri Prahlad Singh, Md. Firoj Alam learned counsels appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State assisted by Sri Ravindra Nath Chaubey, learned counsel for informant.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that the daughter of informant got married to one Abdul Wahid @ Sonu, on dated 15.05.2024. After 2-3 months of marriage, his son-in-law alongwith his father started torturing the daughter of informant physically and mentally for demand of Apache motorcycle and around 10 days back his daughter called him and told him that her husband and in-laws are beating her and threatened to kill her. He fur-

ther alleged that on dated 19.05.2026, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners have killed the daughter of informant and when he reached there he found multiple injuries over the body of deceased.

4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioners are separate in mess and business and nothing to do with the day to day affairs of the deceased and her husband. It is further submitted that the marriage of the deceased with the son of Petitioner No. 04 was solemnized without any exchange of dowry. It is further submitted that Petitioner No. 1 and 2 are students and preparing for competitive exams and living outside the house. It is further submitted that petitioner Raju Ansari, is the maternal uncle of the husband of deceased and on date of occurrence, he was present at his electronic shop at Hata. It is, therefore, prayed that anticipatory bail petition of these petitioners may be allowed.
5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them are that they have brutally beaten and killed the daughter of informant for dowry demands within two years of marriage. The post mortem report of the deceased lady is mentioned in para 19 of case diary showing bruise present on whole of neck, black in colour 10 cm x 8cm, abrasion present above thyroid cartilage 1" x ½ " red in colour, bruise present on both thigh (anterior and posterior aspect) black in colour and cause of death is by throttling due to injury over neck which is grievous in nature. It is submitted on behalf of prosecution that there are allegations against these petitioners of brutally assaulting and killing the daughter of informant for dowry demands within two years of marriage. It is further submitted that investigation of the case is at initial stage and custodial interrogation of these petitioners is required.
7. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances, I am not inclined to give these petitioners the benefit of anticipatory bail, hence, their bail petitions are **dismissed**. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge