

04.06.2026 Contd..P/2	IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE-II, KAIMUR AT BHABUA <u>B.PNo. 273 of 2026</u> Riyaz Idrishi V/s State of Bihar The instant bail application filed on behalf of the accused-petitioner namely Riyaz Idrishi who is in custody since 03.05.2026 in connection with Complaint Case No. 65 of 2024 in which cognizance taken U/s 498(A) of IPC and 3/4 of D.P.Act. Copy of bail petition has duly been served to Id. A.P.P for the State. Heard learned counsel Sri Chandra Bhusan Tripathi on behalf of the petitioner and learned APP for the State as well as Sri Pramod Kumar Tiwary for the complainant. The case of the complainant, in short, is that, petitioner was married with Soni Khatoon @ Madina Khatoon. After sometime, the petitioner and his family members started demanding motorcycle as dowry and on non-fulfilment of demand of dowry, accused persons committed torture on the complainant and ousted her from the matrimonial home. Further it is also alleged that the petitioner pressurized the complainant for unnatural sex. Hence, this case. Learned counsel for the accused petitioner submit that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Earlier petitioner has preferred Cr. Misc No. 90172 of 2024 before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna which was dismissed vide order dated 21.08.2025, except this no bail application pending in this court or any Superior Court. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Accused petitioner is in custody since 03.05.2026. Further submitted that petitioner is ready to keep the complainant with full dignity. On the basis of above facts Id. counsel for the accused petitioner submits that he may be granted bail. On the contrary Id. APP has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner for unnatural sex and torture to the complainant.	
-------------------------------------	--	--

04.06.2026	Heard both the sides. Perused the lower case record. On perusal it transpires that petitioner is husband and there is allegation of torture on non-fulfilling of demand of dowry coupled with allegation of forceful unnatural sex with the complainant not only by the petitioner but also by one of the cousin of petitioner with the consent of the petitioner. Earlier the Hon'ble High Court has been pleased to reject the prayer of petitioner vide Cr. Misc No. 90172 of 2024. Considering the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, I do not find it proper to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly prayer for bail of the petitioner above named is hereby rejected. (Dictated) Sd/ (Ajit Kumar Mishra) Addl.Sessions.Judge- II Kaimur (Bhabhua)	
------------	---	--