

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 893/2026/ Filing No. 3719/2026)**

In re:

1. Upendra Ram, aged 28 years,
S/o Malik Ram,
2. Sumit Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Srikant Ram,
3. Hiramuni Devi, aged 35 years,
W/o Srikant Ram,
4. Malik Ram, aged 30 years,
S/o Late Kishun Ram,
5. Srikant Ram, aged 40 years,
S/o Shivbachan Ram,
6. Sarita Devi,
W/o Upendra Ram,
All R/o Vill- Badhupar, P.S- Mohania, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 313/2026
P.S : Mohania
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109(1),303(2),
352,351(3),3(5) BNS
Date Of Order: 18.05.2026

-
1. Heard Smt. Sangeeta Kumari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that Petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case, however, both the parties are co-villagers and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues for which case and cross-case bearing Mohania PS Case No. 312/2026 have been lodged, however, now parties have settled down their

differences have compromised the case for which copy of compromised petition in on record.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. As per FIR, the allegations against the petitioners are that on 07.05.2026 at around 07:30 PM, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners entered the house of the informant and beaten and injured him and snatched away gold mangal sutra and took away valuables from the house. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that Petitioner No. 5 is none else rather the own brother of the husband of the informant and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues, however, with the intervention of well wishers of both the parties, the parties have now settled down their differences and compromise the case for which compromise petition has been filed and copy there of is on record and the informant is present in court and stated that case has now been compromised and injured has already been discharged from the hospital after treatment.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge