

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 808/2026/ Filing No. 3468/2026)**

In re:

1. Keshav Yadav, aged 60 years,
S/o Late Savaru Singh,
2. Santosh Yadav, aged 32 years,
S/o Rajeshwar Yadav,
3. Laxman Yadav, aged 30 years
S/o Keshav Yadav,
4. Ram Yadav, aged 30 years,
S/o Keshav Yadav,
5. Pappu Yadav @ Pappu Singh Yadav, aged 42 years,
S/o Keshav Yadav,
6. Rajeshwar Yadav, aged 50 years,
S/o Late Savaru Singh,
7. Munna Yadav @ Mannu Singh Yadav, aged 34 years,
S/o Keshav Yadav,
All R/o Vill-Akorhi, P.S-Ramgarh, Dist- Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 118/2026

P.S : Ramgarh

U/s: 115(2),126(2),109(1),74,303(2)

352,190,191(2) BNS

Date Of Order:04.06.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prahalad Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The brief facts of the case are that on dated 29.04.2026 at around 06:00 PM, the informant of this case went for evening walk to his agriculture field at village Akaudi, in the meantime, accused Kesav Yadav and Rajeshwar Yadav stated arguing with him related to an old property dispute and thereafter, both the accused along with other named accused persons assaulted the informant and one Kamlesh Tiwari and injured them.

4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case on the basis of concocted story. It is further submitted that no such occurrence as alleged was taken place, there is no specific allegations against any one of the accused petitioners and the petitioners have also lodged counter case bearing Ramgarh PS Case No. 119/2026 against the informant and others related to this occurrence. It is further submitted that one Bablu Yadav who has died almost five years back was also made accused in this case which shows that no such occurrence was taken place and the petitioners are implicated in this case. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.
5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they along with other FIR named accused came and assaulted the informant and another person who came to his rescue and injured them. The injury report of both the injured are attached with case diary showing one lacerated injury over head each to the injured caused by hard, blunt object and reserved for opinion. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that an altercation was broken out between the parties on the date of occurrence related to an old property dispute in which both sides have received injuries and cross case is lodged by them against each other. It is further submitted that injured from both sides are discharged from hospital after treatment. It is further submitted that no specific overt act is alleged against anyone of these petitioners either in FIR or statement of witnesses mentioned in case diary. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge