

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 887/2026/ Filing No. 3709/2026)**

In re:

1. Ashok Kumar Kiran @ Ashok Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Manoj Yadav,
2. Rohit Yadav @ Rohit Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Parashuram Yadav,
3. Abhishek Chaubey, aged 26 years,
S/o Late Sunil Chaubey,
All R/o Vill-Ameth, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

(AND)

(ABP No. 757/2026/ Filing No. 3244/2026)

In re:

1. Amarjeet Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Yogendra Prasad @ Yogendra Prajapati,
R/o Vill-Ameth, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 293/2026

P.S : Mohania

U/s: 115(2),126(2),109(1),117(2),

118(1),118(2),303(2),352,

351(3),3(5)BNS

Date Of Order:22.05.2026

-
1. Heard Shree Prakash Singh & Kamta Prasad Singh, learned counsels appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The brief facts of the case are that on dated 25.04.2026 at around 11:30 PM, the informant was at his hotel, in the meantime, these petitioners along with other FIR named accused and some unknown persons came to the hotel of informant and asked

some non-veg food and when informant told them that this is a vegetarian hotel, thereafter, the accused persons asked for liquor and ganja and when he refused, all of them started abusing him and thereafter, assaulted him with sharp edged weapon and injured him and snatched gold chain and ring.

4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case due to dirty local politics. It is further submitted that there is no specific allegations of assault against these petitioners and allegations of theft appears to be super addition. It is, therefore, prayed that the bail petitions of these petitioners may please be allowed.
5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they along with other FIR named accused and some unknown persons have assaulted the informant and injured him. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a compromise has arrived between the parties with intervention of well wishers of both sides and a compromise agreement is also filed on record. Further the informant of this case is present in court and stated that he is fit and fine now and left no grievance against the petitioners. He further stated that he has no objection if the bail petitions of these petitioners be considered. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petitions.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge