

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 257/2026/ Filing No. 3642/2026)**

In re:

1. Vivek Kumar @ Bhola, aged about 44 years,
S/o Gupteshwar Sah,
R/o Vill- Lalapur, P.S- Kudra, Distt.- Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 165/2022
P.S : Kudra
U/s: 341,323,324,325,307,379,
34 BNS
In J.C: 11.05.2026
Date Of Order: 19.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Satyendra Narayan Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 21.06.2022 at around 11:00 AM, the informant was coming to his shop from his house having Rs. 3,75,500/- (Three Lakh Seventy Five Thousand Five Hundred) cash and when he reached at his shop, this petitioner alongwith other FIR named accused came having lathi, iron rod, gandas etc. in their hands and started abusing him and thereafter, this petitioner assaulted him with gandas and other co-accused assaulted him with lathi and then this petitioner snatched money from him and fled away.
 4. The counsel of petitioner has submitted that he is innocent, has committed no offense and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that charge-sheet has submitted against this petitioner U/s 341, 323, 325 and 504/34 IPC and the petitioner was enlarged on police bail on dated 20.08.2022. Thereafter, cognizance was taken against the petitioner U/s 307, 379/34, 341, 323, 324, 325 IPC on

dated 18.10.2022. It is further submitted that the injuries caused to the informant by the petitioner is opined by the doctor to be simple in nature caused by HBS, so, no case U/s 307 IPC is made out against him and the allegation of snatching money appears to be super-addition. It is further submitted that cross FIR bearing Kudra PS Case No. 166 of 2025 was also lodged by the petitioner against the informant and his sons related to this occurrence. It is thereafter, prayed that this bail petition may be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for regular bail to the petitioner.
6. Heard both the parties and perused the record. Petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since 11.05.2026. Allegations against him are that he along with other FIR named accused have assaulted the informant with sharp edged weapon and injured him and snatched money from him. It transpired from the perusal of record that charge-sheet was filed against him under bailable sections and petitioner was given benefit of police bail during investigation. Thereafter cognizance was taken against him under non-bailable sections. The injury report of informant is attached with case diary showing cut wound over head which is simple in nature caused by hard blunt object and the injury report of son of informant showing cut wound over head and left hand and fracture of fourth metacarpel of right palm which is grievous in nature cause by HBS. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that no injury committed with sharp edged weapon was found on the person of any one of the injured as alleged in the FIR. Petitioner is stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 03 of his bail petition.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, this bail petition of this petitioner is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge