

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 819/2026/ Filing No.3552/2026)**

In re:

1. Sabaya Devi, aged 50 years,
W/o Santosh Dubey,
2. Priya Dubey @ Priya Kumari Dubey, aged 23 years,
D/o Santosh Dubey,
Both R/o Vill-Ajagari, P.S-Kudra, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 161/2026
P.S : Kudra
U/s: 115(2),126(2),76,303(2),
352,351(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 13.07.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Arun Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of the prosecution is that on dated 26.04.2026 at around 12:40 PM, the nephew of informant namely Nitish Dubey, Niranjana Dubey and Priya Dubey came in the absence of her family members and started assaulting her with lathi, danda and iron rod and injured her. She further alleged that her gotini has also dragged her and accused Nitish Dubey snatched her gold chain.
 4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case with oblique and ulterior motive. It is further submitted that both informant and petitioners belong to the same family and there is a dispute going on between them regarding the family property. It is further submitted that petitioner no. 1 has also lodged counter case no. Kudra PS Case 160/2026 against the informant and her family members regarding this incident. It is therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the persual of record that petitioners are FIR and allegations against them are that they along with other named accused have beaten the informant and snatched her gold chain. The injury report of the informant is mentioned in para 24 of case diary showing bite mark on right wrist joint and abrasion and pain which are simple in nature caused by human bite. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 18 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that both the parties are family members and an altercation took place between them on the date of occurrence for which cross case is lodged by them against each other. It is further submitted that from the nature of accusation, no case U/s 76 and 303(2) BNS is made out against them.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge