

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 810/2026/ Filing No. 3472/2026)**

In re:

1. Vikash Yadav @ Vikash Kumar Singh, aged 37 years,
S/o Sudarshan Yadav @ Sudarshan Singh,
2. Pappu Yadav @ Pappu Kumar Singh, aged 28 years,
S/o Chhangur Yadav @ Munna Prasad Singh,
Both R/o Vill-Machhahata, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 301/2026

P.S : Mohania

**U/s: 126(2),115(2),109(1),324(4),
352,351(3),3(5)BNS**

Date Of Order:21.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Jitendra Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case moreover, there is no specific allegations of over act against them and except section 109(1) BNS invoked in this case, rest sections areailable, however, good senses have now been prevailed between the parties and case has been compromised for which compromise petition has been filed which is on record.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that the petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 30.05.2026 at around 09:30 PM, the petitioners

along with one unknown person came to the hotel of the informant and taken lunch but when the informant asked them to pay the cost of food supplied to them, they claiming themselves to be the Don of the area and refused to pay and when the informant made objection, they started assaulting the informant and when his father and brother came to his rescue they also assaulted them and injured them and also damaged the chairs, tables and utensils of the hotel. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues however, now the parties have sorted out their difference and entered into compromise for which compromise petition has been filed and is on record. The informant is present in court and stated that he has voluntarily compromised the case and filed compromise agreement and further stated that the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital after treatment.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge