

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 806/2026/ Filing No. 3456/2026)**

In re:

1. Kanchan Devi, aged 41 years,
W/o Dharamdev Dhobi,
R/o Vill-Shahbajpur, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 289/2026
P.S : Mohania
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,352
351(3),3(5) BNS
Date Of Order:16.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Satendra Narayan Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has been falsely implicated due to land dispute, however, the unexplained delay of two days in lodging the FIR itself suggests false implication of the petitioner whereas all the sections invoked in the case are bailable except section 109 of BNS which has got no application in this case as the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. It is, therefore, prayed that the petitioner may be granted anticipatory bail.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegations against her as per FIR are that on dated 21.04.2026, the informant went to the property of his mother, in the meantime, this petitioner along with co-accused came and started beating the informant with lathi, danda, bricks and stones. The injury report of the

informant is mentioned in para 51 of case diary showing one laceration injury over head which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 48 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that there is a land dispute going on between the parties. It is further submitted that allegations against this petitioner is general and omnibus and from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against her.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of her arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on her furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge