

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 227/2026/ Filing No.3219/2026)**

In re:

1. Chandrabhushan Kharwar, aged 27 years,
S/o Late Alagu Kharwar,
2. Chandrashekhar Kharwar, aged 25 years,
S/o Late Alagu Kharwar,
Both R/o Vill-Jaitpur Khurd, P.S-Bhagwanpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 32/2026
P.S : Bhabua
U/s: 115(2),126(2),74,76,109,351(2)
352,303(2),3(5) BNS
In J.C: 23.04.2026.
Date Of Order: 16.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Birendra Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused /petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 20.04.2026 at around 12:00 PM, the informant went to her matrimonial house and when her brother who came with her left, her husband Chandrabhusan Kharwar along with his family members came to her room and started abusing her and thereafter, her husband hit her head with the wall and injured her and then, her brother-in-law Chandrashekhar Kharwar disrobed her and misbehaved with her, the accused persons also snatched her jewelry articles and thrown her out of matrimonial house.
 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners have submitted that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that allegations against these petitioners are general in nature. It is, therefore, prayed that their bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. Its a matrimonial dispute. Petitioners are the husband and brother-in-law of informant. They are in judicial custody since 23.04.2026. Allegations against them are that they have beaten the informant and snatched her jewelry articles and moved her out of matrimonial house. It transpires from perusal of para 33 and 34 of case diary that informant has not provided her injury report. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that no such incident as alleged was occurred and informant has not provided any injury report as no injury was inflicted to her. It is further submitted that allegations of disrobing and taking her jewelry articles appears to be super addition.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, their bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioners are directed to be realesed on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge