

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 786/2026/ Filing No. 3380/2026)**

In re:

1. Chandan Ram @ Chandan Kumar, aged 28 years,
S/o Shyam Narayan Ram,
2. Bimal Ram @ Bimal Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Shyam Narayan Ram,
Both R/o Vill- Karjawn, P.S- Chainpur, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 51/2026
P.S : Chainpur
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,76,303(2),
352,351(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 21.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Arun Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 26.01.2026 at around 09:30 PM, Shyam Narayan Ram, Chandan Ram and Vimal Ram came to the door of the informant having lathi, danda etc in their hands and asked the father of informant while he is throwing water on road and when his father denied, all of them abused and assaulted the father of informant with tangi and when sister of informant came to rescue of her father, accused petitioner Vimal Ram assaulted her with iron rod and injured her and Chandan Ram assaulted the informant with lathi and injured her. The accused person also misbehaved with sister of informant and taken her mangalsutra and other jewelry articles.
 4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case with oblique and ulterior motive. It is further submitted that petitioners were not present at home at the time

of occurrence as they are working and living outside the house since long time. It is therefore, prayed that their anticipatory bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
6. Heard both the parties and perused the record. Petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them are that they alongwith co-accused have misbehaved and beaten the informant and her family members and taken jewelry articles. The injury report of the injured are mentioned in para 32 of case diary showing injuries to the injured are simple in nature caused by hard blunt object. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 31 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them and allegation of snatching jewelry articles appears to be super-addition. It is further submitted that father of these petitioners against whom there is specific allegation of assault is granted regular bail by this court.
7. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge