

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 749/2026/ Filing No. 3167/2026)**

1. Moti Bind @ Surendra Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Kirit Bind,
R/o Vill- Gangudih, P.S- Chainpur, Distt.- Kaimur (Bhabhua).

V/s
STATE

**FIR No: 149/2026
P.S : Chainpur
Us:126(2),115(2),109,
352,3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:05.05.2026**

-
1. Heard Sri Md Hussain, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of petitioner that both the parties are members of the same extended family and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues and now resolving their differences, the parties has entered into compromise and filed compromised petition whereas all the sections invoked in this case are bailable except section 109(1) of the BNS, moreover, the injury sustained by injured Raju Kumar are simple in nature caused by HBS and as such no case for the offence U/s 109 of the BNS is made out.
4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Heard the arguments of both sides and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegation against him as per FIR are that on dated 29.03.2026 at around 09:00 AM, the informant was sitting at her house, in the meantime, co-accused Krit Bind came and started abusing for an old dispute and thereafter, assaulted her with lathi and when the son informant came to

her rescue, this petitioner assaulted him and injured him. The injury report of both the injured are attached with case diary showing injury are simple in nature caused by HBS. Petitioner is stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 03 of his bail petition. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that from the nature of accusation as well as injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the both the parties belongs to same extended family and a compromise has also arrived between them. A copy of compromise agreement is also filed on record.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of her arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge