

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 744/2026/ Filing No. 3158/2026)**

In re:

1. Nirmala Devi, aged 56 years,
W/o Triloki Ram,
2. Khusboo Kumari, aged 18 years,
D/o Triloki Ram,
Both R/o Vill-Hata, P.S-Chainpur, Dist- Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 178/2026

P.S : Chainpur

U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,117(2),

352,351(2),3(5)BNS

Date Of Order:23.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Kaushal Pati Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The brief facts of the case are that on dated 17.04.2026 at around 12:00 PM, the informant was at his house, in the meantime, accused Manoj Kumar and Triloki Ram entered the house of informant for an old property dispute and started abusing him and when he objected, both of them assaulted him with lathi and injured him. On hearing noise, his son came to his rescue but accused Triloki Ram also assaulted the son of informant and injured him and when wife and daughter of informant came, they were also beaten by accused persons including these petitioners.
 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that petitioners were not present at the house at the time of occurrence, rather, they went to agriculture field for cutting of wheat crop and when these petitioners went to private hospital for the treatment of their mother, informant has implicated them in this case. It is further submitted that informant and accused Triloki Ram are real brothers and frequent quarrel used to occur between them related to property dispute. It is further submitted that no specific overt act of assault is mentioned against their name. It is therefore, prayed that their bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they along with other FIR named accused have beaten and injured the informant and his family members for an old property dispute. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that both the petitioners are women of the house and allegations against them are general and omnibus, no specific overt act is mentioned against their name. The specific allegation of assault is against co-accused Manoj Ram and Triloki Ram. It is further submitted that both the parties are members of the same extended family and frequent quarrel used to occur between them regarding partition of joint family property. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge