

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 741/2026/ Filing No. 3146/2026)**

In re:

1. Chandan Bind, aged 36 years,
S/o Lalata Bind,
2. Bhola Bind, aged 45 years,
S/o Lalata Bind,
3. Anil Bind, aged 36 years,
S/o Lalata Bind,
4. Panda Kumar, aged 28 years,
S/o Lalata Bind,
5. Nitish Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Bhola Bind,
6. Mukesh Kumar, aged 18 years,
S/o Bhola Bind,
All R/o Vill-Ghatamapur, P.S-Chainpur, Dist- Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 133/2026
P.S : Chainpur
U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,352,
351(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:27.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Ankit Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The brief facts of the case are that on dated 19.03.2026 at around 02:00 PM, the informant was sitting at the door of his house, in the meantime, these accused/petitioners came having lathi, khanti, iron rod etc. in their hands and started abusing and assaulting the informant and injured him.
 4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that they are innocent, have committed no offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that both the parties are gotiya and a land dispute is going on between them. It is further submitted that allegations against these petitioners are general in nature and mother

of petitioner no. 5 and 6 has also filed cross case against informant and others bearing Chainpur PS Case No. 135/2026 related to this occurrence. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that they have abused and assaulted the informant and injured him. The injury report of the informant is mentioned in para 19 of case diary showing fractured injure of nasal bone which is grievous in nature caused by hard blunt substance. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 23 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that both the parties are gotiya dayad and land dispute is going on between them for which this incident was occurred in which both sides have received injuries and cross case is lodged by them against each other. It is further submitted that the informant has single injury over nose and allegation against the petitioners are general in nature and from the nature of accusation, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge