

**The court of Sri Baljinder Pal, Additional Sessions Judge-Ist,
Kaimur at Bhabhua**

A.B.P. No. 728 of 2026

(In connection with Bhabhua P.S. Case No. 377/2026)

In the matter Of :

Shubham Pandey, S/o Santosh Pandey, Aged about 22 years.

R/o Village-Ratwar, PS-Bhabhua, District-Kaimur.

---- Petitioner

V/s

The State of Bihar

----O.P.

(Crl. Antecedent :- 0 (as per para 3 of bail application))

Ld. Counsel for the Petitioner : Sri Jitendra Kumar Tripathi.

Ld. P.P for the State : Sri Virendra Singh.

Ld. Counsel for the Informant : Sri Pradip Kumar.

ORDER

Date : 23.05.2026

1. Anticipatory Bail Application, dated 25.04.2026 filed on behalf of the petitioner Shubham Pandey apprehending his arrest in connection with Bhabhua PS Case No. 377/2026 registered for the offence punishable u/ss 69, 3(5) of the BNS, pending in the Court of Ld. CJM, Bhabhua, is moved for hearing by the Id. Advocate for the petitioner. Case diary along with photo copy of statement u/s 183 of the BNSS in sealed enveloped received on record. The informant/victim appeared through her Ld. Advocate Sri Pradip Kumar. Heard both sides.

2. The learned advocate on behalf of the accused/petitioner submitted that the petitioner no anticipatory bail or regular bail filed or pending either in this Court or before the Hon'ble High Court, Patna prior to this

application. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents. The Ld. Advocate further submitted that the story of the prosecution is false, baseless and manufactured one. No this type of occurrence as alleged by the accused/petitioner ever took place and no such marriage as alleged was settled between the informant and he never agreed to marry with her due to more age than petitioner. The Ld. Advocate further submitted that no demand of Rs. 5,00,000/- or any articles was made from the informant by the petitioner or his family members. The Ld. Advocate further submitted that no sexual act or violence with informant on giving false promise of marriage took place, all the allegations are super additions and the petitioner is quite innocent having committed no offence and, therefore, requested for grant of anticipatory bail as the case is only to pressurize the petitioner to marry her and to defame him in society and for the purpose of realising illicit money.

3. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the State and the Ld. Advocate on behalf of the informant/victim opposed the bail and submitted that as stated in the FIR accused/petitioner had settled marriage with informant and on the promise of marriage got intimidated and made sexual relations with informant/victim on false pretext of marriage and even got imitated the ceremony of marriage by taking the informant at Mundeshwari Mandir, Bhabhua by filling her forehead with vermilion and, thereafter, when the informant/victim insisted for marriage in society also, not only he refused but the other FIR named family members demanded Rs. 5,00,000/- and gold ornaments for solemnizing marriage of the petitioner with informant. The Ld. Advocate further submitted that when the accused/petitioner on pretext of false marriage had made physical relations in hotel Aryavrat at Machhli Mandi, Mohania, he had also made video of the occurrence and on threatening of making viral the said video, he many times made physical relations with the informant in hotel at

Mohania and thereby sexually exploited the informant.

4. After hearing both sides and considering the serious allegations and exploiting the informant/victim on false pretext of solemnizing marriage and also going through the ceremony of marriage in Mundeshwari Mandir, Bhabhua and, thereafter denying the marriage with the informant, the allegations are supported by the witnesses in case diary and also in the statement of the informant/victim recorded u/s 183 of the BNSS, and the investigation is still pending. The Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the accused/petitioner and has the anticipatory bail filed on behalf of the accused/petitioner is hereby ***dismissed***.

5. The observations made in this order are only on the basis of submission and material available on record for the purpose of disposal of the anticipatory bail application and should not be regarded as an opinion in investigation, inquiry or trial.

(Dictated)

sd/-

(Baljinder Pal)

Additional Sessions Judge-1
Kaimur at Bhabhua