

**IN THE COURT OF SRI BALJINDER PAL, DISTRICT & ADDITIONAL
SESSION JUDGE-I-CUM-SPECIAL JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABUA**

SC/ST Reg. No. 128/2018

1. Sanjay Pandey @ Sanjay Kumar Pandey aged about 53 years.
 2. Gyan Pandey @ Gyan Kumar Pandey aged about 62 years.
 3. Dhanjee Pandey @ Dhaanjay Pandey aged about 59 years.
All S/o Jokhu Pandey.
 4. Santosh Pandey S/o Late Shri Narayan Pandey, aged about 40 years.
 5. Prakash Pandey @ Om Prakash Pandey S/o Radha Krishna Pandey, aged about 38 years.
 6. Dheeraj Pandey S/o Gyan Kumar Pandey, aged about 31 years.
 7. Rohit Pandey @ Rohit Kumar, S/o Gyan Chand Pandey, aged about 27 years.
 8. Sheojee Pandey @ Shivam Pandey S/o Gyan Chand Pandey, aged about 37 years.
- All R/o Village-Gosaisipur, PS-Durgawati, Distt-Kaimur (Bhabhua)

.....Accused/Petitioners

Versus

State of Bihar

.....Opposite Party

FIR No.: 261/2018

PS : Durgawati

**U/ss : 341, 323, 325, 504 r/w 34
of the IPC & 3(1)(r)(s) of the
SC/ST Act.**

Ld. Counsel for the Accused/Petitioners : Sri Ajit Kumar Singh.

Ld. Spl. P.P. for the State : Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh.

ORDER ON SURRENDER-CUM-BAIL PETITION

31.07.2026

1. Surrender-cum-Bail application dated 22.07.2026, filed on behalf of the accused persons, namely **1. Sanjay Pandey @ Sanjay Kumar Pandey, 2. Gyan Pandey @ Gyan Kumar Pandey 3. Dhanjee Pandey @ Dhaanjay Pandey 4.**

Santosh Pandey 5. Prakash Pandey @ Om Prakash Pandey 6. Dheeraj Pandey 7. Rohit Pandey @ Rohit Kumar and 8. Sheojee Pandey @ Shivam Pandey, is moved for hearing today, in compliance of Section 15A of the SC/ST Act notice was issued to the informant and service report is placed on record by the Ld. Spl. P.P. through the PS concerned but none responded on behalf of the informant. Surrender of the accused persons allowed and taken in formal judicial custody.

Heard both sides.

2. The Ld. Advocate on behalf of the accused/petitioners submitted that no summons were issued, however, summons received by the accused persons post cognizance and the service report available on record, discloses no particulars by whom the said summons issued on 05.04.2022 were received but the accused/petitioners had received bailable warrants issued by the Court and personal bonds were filed for their appearance.

Today they have voluntarily appeared and surrendering before the Court, applying for regular bail on merits of the case. The Ld. Advocate further submitted that during investigation the accused persons were arrested and now after cognizance the accused persons have appeared and will obey all the terms and conditions and should be granted bail as the offence under which the cognizance is taken are bailable one except the Sections of the SC/ST Act but the same are not attracted and trial will take sufficient time for its conclusion.

3. The Ld. Spl. P.P. on behalf of the State submitted that notice u/s 15A is served to the informant but he has not appeared and the accused persons have caused explained delay in their appearance as summons were served on 28.04.2022 and service report is available on record but when the court queried that neither process server nor the SHO who forward service report as anywhere stated to whom the said summons were served by the process server, the Ld. Spl. P.P. could not explain.

4. Considering that the accused persons are appearing post cognizance and they had filed personal bonds on receiving bail bonds and today have appeared and surrendered voluntarily to face the trial, the informant is not present and the trial will certainly take sufficient time for conclusion and no useful purpose will be served by remanding them in judicial custody. Accordingly, the prayer of the accused persons for bail is accepted and they are directed to be ***released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-*** with two sureties of like amount subject to condition u/s 480(2) of the BNSS and corresponding Section 437(3) of the Cr.PC.

The accused/petitioners to receive the police papers in compliance of Section 207 of the Cr.P.C. corresponding Section 230 of the BNSS today itself and to remain physically present for hearing on the point of framing of the charge on date, date is adjourned to **31.08.2026**.

5. The observations made in this order are on the basis of submission and appreciation of facts revealed on record and shall not be regarded as an opinion in investigation, inquiry or trial of the case.

(Dictated)

sd/-

(Baljinder Pal)
DAJ-I-cum-Spl. Judge
Kaimur at Bhabhua