

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 667/2026/ Filing No. 2854/2026)**

In re:

1. Mahendra Rajbhar @ Mahendra Bhar, aged 46 years,
S/o Late Bachmuni Rajbhar @ Bachmuni Bhar,
2. Suraj Rajbhar @ Suraj Bhar, aged 18 years,
S/o Sukan Rajbhar @ Jokhan Bhar,
Both R/o Vill-Narahan, P.S-Ramgarh, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 96/2026
P.S : Ramgarh
U/s: 115(2),126(2),118(1),
110,3(5)BNS
Date Of Order:07.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Rahul Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that this case is the outcome of land dispute going on between the parties and a simple scuffle took place between the parties on the point of harvesting crop for which case and cross case Ramgarh PS Case No. 97/2026 by both sides against each other has been lodged and from the facts of the case, no case for the offence U/s 118(1) and 110 BNS is made out while there is no specific allegation of overt act rather the allegations levelled against the petitioners are omnibus in nature.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 04.04.2026 at around 03:00 PM, the informant

went to his agriculture field for cutting of wheat crop, in the meantime, these petitioners came having lathi in their hands and started assaulting the informant. The injury report of the informant is mentioned in para 26 of case diary showing one lacerated injury over head which is grievous in nature caused by HBS. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 16 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties on the date of occurrence in which both sides have received injuries and cross case is lodged by them against each other. It is submitted that allegations against these petitioners are general in nature and informant has single injury, so no case U/s 110 BNS is made out against the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge