

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 663/2026/ Filing No. 2842/2026)**

In re:

1. Virendra Yadav, aged 40 years,
S/o Late Hanuman Yadav,
2. Nirmal Yadav, aged 60 years,
S/o Shree Yadav,
3. Magaru Yadav, aged 25 years,
S/o Nirmal Yadav,
All R/o Vill-Akhalaspur, P.S-Bhabhua, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 210/2026
P.S : Bhabhua
U/s: 126(2)115(2)109,351(2)76,
331(4),303(2),352,3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 22.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Pankaj Kumar Chaubey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying their involvement in the commission of alleged occurrence and alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that the petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence, moreover, all the sections invoked in this case are bailable except section 109, 76, 303(2) and 331(4) of BNS, however, injuries sustained by the injured are simple caused by hard and blunt substance and thus, as submitted, no case for the offence under section 109 of the BNS is made out while the allegations of outraging modesty, commission of theft and house trespass are super addition.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 02.03.2026 at around 11:30 PM, the informant was going to his bathaka from his house and when he reached near the bathaka, he heard some noise and saw co-accused Virmal Yadav running away on seeing the informant and when he reached the house of co-accused to complain, accused Virmal Yadav started abusing him and thereafter, assaulted him with iron rod and when his wife came to his rescue, she was also beaten by the accused persons including these petitioners and they have also taken away valuables from the house of the informant. The injury report of both the injured are attached with case diary showing injuries to them are simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 32 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that allegations of assault against the petitioners are general and omnibus and from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case under section 109 BNS is made out against the petitioners and the accusation of misbehaving, disrobing and taking away valuables appears to be super addition.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge