

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 202/2026/ Filing No.2811/2026)**

In re:

1. Akhilesh Kumar, aged 46 years,
S/o Kedar Singh,
R/o Vill-Badhaiyabag Takiya Ward no. 1/10 Sasaram, P.S.-Sasaram, Dist- Rohtas
(Sasaram)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 655/2025
P.S : Mohania
U/s: 126(2),127(2),308(5),140(3),
352,351(2),351(3),61(2),3(5) BNS
In J.C: 09.10.2025.
Date Of Order: 21.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Sudama Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged occurrence, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner is not named in the FIR rather his name was surfaced during investigation in the confessional statement of co-accused, however, no one has claimed to have seen the petitioner committing the alleged occurrence nor any incriminating article has been recovered from the possession or place of the petitioner nor the petitioner has been put on T.I. Parade.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. FIR against unknown. The name of this petitioner was surfaced during investigation mentioned in case diary. He is in judicial custody since 09.10.2025. Allegations against him are that on dated 28.07.2025 at around 05:00PM, this petitioner along with other ac-

cused persons have stopped the vehicle of informant and dragged him in their vehicle on gun point and thereafter, snatched Rs. 36000/- from his pocket and jewelry articles in his bag and other valuables, and there they have dropped him near Mohania. Charge sheet against him has already been filed. It further transpires from perusal of para 64 of case diary that the vehicle stated to be used in the commission of this offence was seized in another case. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that his name was surfaced in the confessional statement of the co-accused. It is further submitted that nothing incriminating article related to this occurrence was recovered either from the physical or conscious possession or place of this petitioner. It is further submitted that no T.I. parade was conducted w.r.t. this petitioner. Petitioner has criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 89 of case diary and para 3 of his bail petition in which he is stated to be on bail in those cases.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that charge sheet against this petitioner has already been filed, his bail petition is **allowed** and he is directed to be released **after framing of charge** on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge