

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 196/2026/ Filing No.2715/2026)**

In re:

1. Ajay Haluwai @ Ajay Kumar Gupta, aged 36 years,
S/o Kishor Haluwai @ Kishore Prasad Gupta,
R/o Vill-Chainpur, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 128/2026

P.S : Chainpur

U/s: 191(2),190,126(2),115(2),109

303(2),352,351(2) BNS

In J.C: 03.04.2026.

Date Of Order: 16.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Ankit Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 17.03.2026 at around 08:30 PM, the informant boarded on a truck for his village and when the truck is reached near Khargawa mod, some persons were raising slogan and stopped the vehicle but the driver of the truck fled away and the crowd caught hold of him and assaulted him badly and injured him. He further alleged that co-accused Sandesh Prasad Bind has snatched Rs. 60,000/- from his pocket.
 4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is innocent, has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that allegations against him are general and omnibus and no specific overt act alleged against him. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.
 5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the persual of record that petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since

03.04.2026. Allegations against him are that he along with other named accused have beaten the informant and snatched Rs. 60,000/- cash from his pocket. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that allegations against him are general and omnibus, no specific overt act is mentioned against his name. It is further submitted that that allegations of snatching money is against co-accused. Further informant of this case is present in court and stated that this petitioner was not present at the place of occurrence and has not committed any offence and he has wrongly mentioned the name of the petitioner as he was not well and undergoing treatment. A copy of compromise agreement is also filed on record. Petitioner is stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, his bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge