

**The court of Sri. Baljinder Pal, Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum
Special Judge, Kaimur at Bhabhua
A.B.P. No. 632 of 2026
(In connection with Bhagwanpur P.S. Case No. 51/2026)**

In the matter Of :

1. Ankit Kumar @ Ajit Kumar, aged about 24 years, S/o Late Ravindra Kumar Singh.
 2. Manji Singh @ Manoj Kumar Singh, aged about 25 years, S/o Late Chandrama Singh.
- Both R/o Vill- Bhairapur, P.S- Bhagwanpur, District- Kaimur

----Petitioners.

Vs

The State of Bihar

---- O. P.

Crl. Antecedent :- 2 (as per para- 3 of bail application)

Ld. Advocate for the petitioners : Sri Arbind Kumar Singh.

Ld. Advocate for the State : Sri Sanjay Singh, Ld. Spl. P. P.

ORDER

Date : 12.05.2026

1. The anticipatory bail application dated 09.04.2026 filed on behalf of the above named petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Bhagwanpur PS Case No. 51/2026, registered for offences punishable u/ss 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109(1), 331, 303(2), 76, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the BNS and 3(1)(r)(s)(w), 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act, is moved for hearing by the Ld. Advocate, copy already received by the Ld. Spl. P.P. The Ld. Spl. P.P. submitted that the informant as the information of the today's hearing and placed on record caste certificate of the informant regarding belong to scheduled castes community. Heard both sides.

2. The learned advocate for the petitioner submitted that no regular or anticipatory bail petition on behalf of the accused/petitioner has been filed or pending before this Court or before the Hon'ble Patna High Court. The petitioner is having two criminal antecedents, accused/petitioner no. 1 is having

Bhagwanpur PS Case No. 118/2021 and accused/petitioner no. 2 is having Exercise Case No. 691/2021 against him in which they both are out of bail. The Id. Advocate further submitted that the accused/petitioner is not involved in assaulting and committing theft are outraging of modesty in the informant and is having committed no offence, he is falsely implicated in fabricated, concocted and imaginary case, due to which village politics, he is not slurred any caste indicated words in public view and, therefore, SC/ST Act is also not attracted and all the Sections except Section 109, 303 (2), 76 of the BNS and SC/ST Act are bailable one.

3. The Ld. Spl. P.P. opposed the bail on the ground that the crime was committed against the member of scheduled castes community, and the caste certificate of the informant Najma D/o Hirawan Paswan, which is already placed on record which reveals that she belongs to scheduled castes community and, therefore, anticipatory bail is not maintainable under Section 18 and 18A(2) of the SC/ST Act and further submitted that on merits also the anticipatory bail is liable to be rejected as the accused has committed no bailable offence and the injury report is also available on record supporting the allegations.

4. Having heard both sides and perusal of the records reveals that there is allegations on 03.03.2026 at about 02:30 AM the informant/victim alleged that the accused/petitioner nos. 1 and 2 along with FIR named accused persons trespassed here is during night and her dogs started barking on observing the persons of the intruders and the accused persons caused injury to her dog and, thereafter, they armed with lathi-danda and having iron rod etc. caused injury to informant and her husband, looted Rs. 20,000/- and ornaments worth Rs. 30,000/- and when the mother of victim, Bimla Devi, came to the space was also assaulted and she sustained injury and fracture of hand and her husband also sustained fracture of foot and the informant also sustained injuries and when on 04.03.2026 she had went to house of the accused persons at about 01:30 PM they had assaulted her. The occurrence is supported by the witnesses is paras no. 3, 5 and 6 of the case diary. The injury report of Bimla Devi reveals lacerated wound of size 1.1/4", caused by blunt object opined simple in nature. From the material available on record at the stage it cannot be said

that no offence under SC/ST is made out against the persons and, therefore, the prayer of anticipatory bail is hereby **rejected** being hit by Section 18 and 18A(2) of the SC/ST Act.

The observations made in this order are based on material available on record till date and submissions of the parties and shall be confined for the disposal of anticipatory bail application and should not be treated as an opinion in the further investigation of the case.

(Dictated)

sd/-

(Baljinder Pal)
Additional Sessions Judge-1
cum-Special Judge
Kaimur at Bhabhua