

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 191/2026/ Filing No.2675/2026)**

In re:

1. Arvind Kumar, aged 30 years,
S/o Dadan Bind,
R/o Vill-Sahe Bahe, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

**Complaint Case No. 931/2023
U/s: 498(A), 34 IPC & 3/4 DP Act
In J.C: 04.04.2026
Date Of Order: 16.04.2026**

-
1. Heard Sri Sanjay Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that earlier the petitioner has been granted anticipatory bail on merit of the case and has not misused the privilege of bail granted to him rather he being a poor labourer could not succeeded to pay amount of maintenance, however, he is ready to make payment of maintenance regularly in future and also ready to pay due arrears.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. Its a matrimonial dispute. Petitioner is the husband of complainant. He is in judicial custody since 04.04.2026. Allegation against him as per criminal complaint that he has harassed and tortured the complainant for non-fulfillment of dowry demands and finally moved her out of matrimonial house on dated 16.04.2023. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that allegation of harassing and demanding dowry is general and vague. It is further submitted that earlier this petitioner was given the benefit of anticipatory bail with the condition that he will pay monthly maintenance of Rs. 3500/- to the

complainant but he has not made the regular payment and his bail bond was cancelled on dated 04.04.2026 and he was taken into custody. It is further submitted that the petitioner was unable to make regular payment due to his meager resources and ready to clear the dues in near future. Petitioner is stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of his bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, this bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge