

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 185/2026/ Filing No.2660/2026)**

In re:

1. Sonu Paswan, aged 26 years,
S/o Mukhiya Paswan @ Rajbansh Paswan,
2. Kallu Keshari @ Dinesh Kumar, aged 29 years,
S/o Prahalad Keshari @ Prahalad Prasad Keshari,
Both R/o Vill-Kharigawa, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

(AND)

(BP No. 186/2026/ Filing No.2661/2026)

In re:

1. Sandesh Prasad Bind @ Sandesh Prasad, aged 30 years,
S/o Saggu Bind,
R/o Vill-Lodipur, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 128/2026

P.S : Chainpur

U/s: 191(2),190,126(2),115(2),109

303(2),352,351(2) BNS

In J.C: 19.03.2026 & 18.03.2026

Date Of Order: 20.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Markandey Singh & Prahalad Singh learned counsels appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 17.03.2026 at around 08:30 PM, the informant boarded on a truck for his village and when the truck is reached near Khargawa mod, some persons were raising slogan and stop the vehicle but the driver of the truck fled away and the crowd caught hold of him and assaulted him badly and injured him. Informant has identified some of the accused and this petitioner was one

among them. He further alleged that petitioner Sandesh Prasad Bind has snatched RS. 60,000/- from his pocket.

4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offence and have been falsely implicated in this case. It is further submitted that allegations against them are general and omnibus and no specific overt act alleged against them. It is further submitted that informant Azad Khan was driving the container loaded with cows and police seized the container and registered Chainpur PS Case No. 126/2026 against the informant and a crowd was gathered as the place of occurrence and the name of these petitioners were wrongly given in this case. It is, therefore, prayed that this bail petition may please be allowed.
5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioners.
6. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and in judicial custody since 19.03.2026 and 18.03.2026 respectively. Allegations against them are that they along with other named accused have beaten the informant and snatched Rs. 60,000/- cash from his pocket. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that allegations against them are general and omnibus, no specific overt act is mentioned against any one of them. It is further submitted that the allegations of snatching money against the petitioner Sandesh Prasad Bind is super addition to make the case graver. The injury report of the informant is mentioned para 88 of case diary showing one laceration injury over head which is simple in nature caused by HBS. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petitions.
7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone, their bail petitions are **allowed** and the petitioners are directed to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge