

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA  
(BP No. 187/2026/ Filing No. 2659/2026)**

In re:

1. Chandan Paswan @ Chandan Kumar, aged about 28 years,  
S/o Kanhiya Paswan,  
R/o Vill- Paswan Toli Mohania Ward No. 12, P.S- Mohania, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s  
STATE

**FIR No: 761/2025  
P.S : Mohania  
U/s: 309(4),3(5) BNS  
In J.C: 27.01.2026  
Date Of Order: 10.04.2026**

- 
1. Heard Sri Mohd. Firoz Alam, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
  2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that he had not filed any bail petition, either anticipatory or regular except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
  3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner is not named in the FIR rather his name has surfaced in course of investigation, moreover, no incriminating material has been recovered from the person or place of the petitioner nor any material showing involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged occurrence as yet been collected by the I.O., moreover, co-accused Ajit Kumar, having similar situation allegation has been bailed out by this court vide order passed in BP No. 587/2025 and the case of the petitioner stands on similar footing and thus it is prayed that petitioner may be granted anticipatory bail.
  4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.
  5. Heard both the parties & perused the case record. FIR against unknown. The name of this petitioner was first surfaced in the confessional statement of co-accused mentioned in para 12 of case diary. He is in judicial custody since 27.01.2026. Allegations against him are that he along with other co-accused have snatched the motorcy-

cle of informant. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that his name is surfaced on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused Ajit Kumar. It is further submitted that the snatched motorcycle was not recovered either from the possession of this petitioner or his place. It is further submitted that co-accused has a dispute with the informant regarding borrowing of some money and due to this reason this case was lodged. Petitioner is stated to have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 03 of his bail petition and para 115 and 116 of case diary in which he is stated to on his bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that he is in judicial custody for around two and half month, this bail petition of this petitioner is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.  
Sessions Judge