

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 184/2026/ Filing No. 2658/2026)**

In re:

1. Shristhi Kumari, aged 30 years,
D/o Late Shidheswar Prasad Singh,
R/o Vill-Bhagwanpur word No. 10, P.S-Bhagwanpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

**FIR No: 10/2026
P.S. : Bhagwanpur
U/s: 103(1), 3(5) BNS & 27 Arms
Act
In J.C.: 02.02.2026
Date Of Order: 14.05.2026**

-
1. Heard Shree Prakash Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State assisted by Shri Satyendra Narayan Singh, Ld. Counsel for informant.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that she is innocent, has not committed any offence and has been falsely implicated in this case with oblique and ulterior motive. It is further submitted that the name of this petitioner was surfaced in this case on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused which has no evidentiary value in the eyes of law. It is further submitted that no one has seen the petitioner at the place of occurrence and she has no concern with the co-accused. It is therefore, prayed that the regular bail petition of this petitioner may be allowed.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting of regular bail to the petitioner stating that there are allegation against her of hiring the co-accused to kill one Prem Pasi but the shooter mistakenly killed the son of informant.

5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. FIR against unknown. The name of this petitioner was first surfaced in the confessional statement of co-accused mentioned in para 125 of case diary. She is in judicial custody since 02.02.2026. Allegation against her is that she hired a shooter to kill one Prem Pasi to whom she has relationship which was later on soared. It further transpires from the case diary that the shooter mistakenly killed the son of informant. The post mortem report of the deceased is mentioned in para 204 of case diary showing one lacerated injury on left zygomatic prominence and a metallic bullet was recovered from right occipital region and the cause of death is due to hemorrhage and shock due to fire arm injury as mentioned above leading to cardio respiratory failure. It is submitted on behalf of prosecution that the shooter hired by this petitioner has killed the son of informant. Allegations against her are serious.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant her bail. Hence, this regular bail petition is **dismissed**. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge