

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 607/2026/ Filing No. 2627/2026)**

In re:

1. Mannu Ram @ Munna Ram, aged 61 years,
S/o Late Sakal Ram @ Late Ram Sakal Ram,
R/o Vill-Katra Kala, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 544/2025
P.S.: Mohania
U/s: 126(2),115(2),76,303(2),352,
351(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS
Date Of Order:09.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Prakash Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying allegations levelled against the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that petitioner and informant are gotiya, have committed no offence and has falsely been implicated in this case due to land dispute and the allegations levelled against the petitioner is false and concocted. Moreover, all sections invoked in this are bailable except sections 76 and 303(2) BNS which is super addition and not make out in this case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition. It is, therefore, prayed that this anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegations against him as per FIR are that on dated 19.06.2025 at around 09:00 AM, the husband and other family members of informant have made bamboo bridge to cross the water channel to visit their purchased land and at around 09:00 AM, when the informant was re-

turning through that bamboo bridge, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners have surrounded her and started abusing her. When she objected, all of them assaulted her and disrobed her. She further alleged that the accused/petitioners have also snatched jewelry articles from her person. Charge sheet against the petitioners have already been filed giving them the benefit of Section 35 of BNSS as mentioned in para 07 of case diary. The injury report of the informant is attached with case diary showing contusion over right elbow and right ankle joint which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Thereafter, cognizance was taken against the accused/petitioner under the same sections in which charge sheet was filed. It is submitted that the accused/petitioners were given benefit of section 35 of BNSS during investigation and they have not violated the conditions of bond till date.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of his arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge