

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 606/2026/ Filing No. 2611/2026)**

In re:

1. Dadan Ram, aged 37 years,
S/o Bihari Ram,
2. Prince Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Bigau Ram,
3. Ram Pravesh Ram, aged 32 years,
S/o Bala Ram,
4. Madan Ram, aged 51 years,
S/o Bihari Ram,
5. Arun Kumar, aged 18 years,
S/o Bigau Ram,
6. Sonu Kumar, aged 24 years,
S/o Kundan Ram,
7. Kundan Ram, aged 55 years,
S/o Pardesi Ram,
8. Chandan Ram, aged 23 years,
S/o Kundan Ram,
9. Pintu Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Sunnar Ram,
All R/o Vill-Mokri, P.S.-Bhabua, Dist- Kaimur(Bhabua)
10. Sadan Ram, aged 50 years,
S/o Bihari Ram,
11. Manoj Ram, aged 28 years,
S/o Ghur Bigan Ram,
12. Shivanand Ram, aged 28 years,
S/o Bihari Ram,
13. Ajit Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Kundan Ram,
All R/o Vill-Shivpur, P.S.-Bhabua, Dist- Kaimur(Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 232/2026
P.S. : Bhabua
U/s: 126(2),115(2),76,74,303(2)

352,351(2),3(5) BNS
Date Of Order: 22.04.2026

1. Heard Sri Gopal Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
3. Denying the allegations levelled against the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that the petitioners are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this case, however, this case is the out come of differences cropped up between the parties on the eve of holi festival. Moreover, settle down their differences, the parties have compromised the case and filed compromise petition which is on record.
4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the FIR that on 04.03.2026 at around 07:00 PM, while the informant was at her house, the FIR named accused Shivanand and others came in inebriated condition and started knocking the door of her house and when the son of the informant open the door, the accused persons started beating him and when the informant came to his rescue, they have assaulted her and outraged her modesty to which the informant reported to the police and the police reached the place of occurrence to pacify the matter and thereafter, on 05.03.2026 at 09'O clock the accused persons named in the FIR beaten the informant and his family members with *lathi*, *bhala*, *gadasha* and axes and outraged the modesty of her daughter and snatched *mangalsutra* as well as gold earring. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent and having falsely implicated in this case. However, both the parties are co-villagers and this case is the out come of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues. Further, the parties have already settled their differences and compromised the case with the petitioners for which the compromise petition has been filed and is on record. The informant is present in court and stated that she has compromised the case with the petitioners and the injured persons have already been discharged from the hospital after treatment.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge