

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 605/2026/ Filing No. 2610/2026)**

In re:

1. Karan Tiwari @ Tantan, aged about 28 years,
S/o Late Kripashankar Tiwari,
R/o-Vill- Amaon, P.S.-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabhua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 152/2026
P.S : Chainpur
U/s: 126(2), 324(4), 333, 308(2),
109(1), 351(2), 351(3), 3(5) BNS
& 25, 27 Arms Act
Date Of Order:18.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Raushan Kumar Yadav, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is innocent, has not committed any such offence and has been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics and enmity. It is further submitted that the allegation of firing in the Hall of Nagar Panchayat, Hata is against co-accused Piyush Pandey and there is no accusation against this petitioner either of threatening, abusing or assaulting anyone. It is, therefore, prayed that his bail petition may be allowed.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner stating that this petitioner along with co-accused have fired upon the employee of Nagar Panchayat Hata.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegations against him as per FIR are that on dated 31.03.2026 at around 4:00 PM, two persons entered the

Hall of Nagar Panchayat, Hata and co-accused pulled out a pistol from his waist and fired upon the employee of Hata. The bullet hit the wall of Hall and an empty cartridge was also recovered from the hall. This petitioner along with co-accused was identified from the CCTV footage of the Hall. Petitioner has criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 52 of case diary, in which he is stated to be on bail. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that the allegation of firing on the employee of Nagar Panchayat, Hata is against co-accused Piyush Pandey and there is no specific overt act mentioned against his name.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of his arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge