

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 590/2026/ Filing No. 2590/2026)**

In re:

1. Ravindra Kumar Pandey @ Ravindra Pandey, aged 65 years,
S/o Late Bharath Pandey,
2. Sanjay Pandey @ Sanjay Kumar Pandey, aged 26 years,
S/o Ravindra Pandey,
R/o Vill- Kudhunu, P.S.-Chand, Dist- Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 126/2026
P.S. : Chand
U/s: 115(2),126(2),109(1),352,
351(2),3(5),191(2),191(3) BNS
Date Of Order:27.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Daddan Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying allegation levelled against the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that earlier to the alleged occurrence the informant party assaulted the petitioners for which Chand PS Case No. 296 of 2024 has been lodged and on the alleged date of occurrence in order to put pressure upon the petitioners to compromise the said case the informant party entered the house of the petitioners and assaulted the family members of the petitioners for which information was given to the police but the police has not registered the case of the petitioners. Moreover, this case is the outcome of land dispute between the parties for which the title suit bearing no. 1306 of 2024 is pending for adjudication before Civil Court.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them are that on dated 22.03.2026 at around 09:15 AM, the informant of this case was working in his agriculture field, in the meantime, both the accused petitioners came and started abusing the informant and thereafter, petitioner no. 1 assaulted the informant with iron rod and injured him. The injury report of informant is attached with case diary showing one lacerated injury over right parietal region of head and pain and swelling over right hand and both the injuries are grievous in nature. Petitioners have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 20 of case diary. Since, there is specific allegation against petitioner no. 1 of assaulting and grievously injured the informant, I am not inclined to give him benefit of anticipatory bail, hence, his bail petition is **dismissed**.
6. So far as anticipatory bail petition of petitioner no. 2 is concerned, allegation against him are general in nature, hence his bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of his arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, petitioner no. 2 (Sanjay Pandey @ Sanjay Kumar Pandey) shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge