

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 580/2026/ Filing No. 2542/2026)**

In re:

1. Yogi Singh @ Yogendra Narayan Singh, aged 48 years,
S/o Late Dashrath Singh,
2. Sachidanand Singh, aged 52 years,
S/o Late Dashrath Singh,
3. Rampratap Singh, aged 57 years,
S/o Late Dashrath Singh,
4. Bhanu Pratap Singh, aged 50 years,
S/o Late Dashrath Singh,
All R/o Vill- Baghela, P.S- Chand, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 97/2026
P.S : Chand
U/s: 329(3),115(2),333,109,324(4),
309(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 14.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Pramod Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. The case of prosecution is that on dated 09.11.2025 at around 04:00 PM, the informant alongwith her husband and son went to their agriculture field and saw that accused/petitioners have moved the water logged in their field to the field of informant having crop and when she objected that her crop is going to be damaged due to water logging, all the accused persons started beating the informant and her family members and disrobed her.
 4. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent, have not committed any offense and have been falsely implicated in this case on basis of false and concocted story just a create pressure to settle the court case bearing Chand PS Case No. 285 of 2025. It is further submitted that Petitioner No. 03 has also lodged cross FIR against the informant and her family members related to this occurrence. It is further

submitted that allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus. It is therefore, prayed that her anticipatory bail petition may be allowed.

5. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
6. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them are that they have abused and beaten the informant and her family members regarding moving of water in the field of informant from their agriculture field. The injury report of the injured are attached with case diary showing abrasion and pain which are simple in nature caused by HBS. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties on date of occurrence due to water logging in their agriculture field in which both sides have received injuries and cross-case is lodged by them against each other. It is further submitted that from the nature of accusation read with injury report no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against the petitioners and the accusation against these petitioners are general in nature. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 03 of their bail petition.
7. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge