

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 585/2026/ Filing No. 2570/2026)**

In re:

1. Dularchandra Sharma, aged 25 years,
S/o Jaiprakash Sharma,
2. Premchandra Sharma, aged 24 years,
S/o Jaiprakash Sharma,
3. Ramjatan Sharma, aged 46 years,
S/o Rajnarayan Sharma,
4. Omprakash Sharma, aged 49 years,
S/o Rajnarayan Sharma,
5. Niranjana Sharma @ Ranjit Sharma, aged 20 years,
S/o Omprakash Sharma,
6. Sanjay Sharma, aged 41 years,
S/o Rajnarayan Sharma,
7. Dharmendra Sharma @ Dharmendra Kumar Sharma, aged 22 years,
S/o Jaiprakash Sharma
8. Jaiprakash Sharma, aged 51 years,
S/o Rajnarayan Sharma,
9. Amit Sharma @ Amit Kumar, aged 19 years,
S/o Ramjatan Sharma
10. Rajnarayan Sharma, aged 80 years,
S/o Late Mukhlal Sharma,
All R/o Vill-Kulihidiya, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s

STATE

FIR No: 225/2026

P.S : Mohania

**U/s: 126(2),115(2),117(2),109(1),
352,351(3),3(5)BNS**

Date Of Order:29.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Shivanand Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that both the parties are nearest agnates and this case is the outcome of land dispute between

the parties due to which this scuffle took place for which case and cross case bearing Mohania PS Case No. 226/2026 have been lodged by both sides against each other. Moreover, there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioners and all the sections invoked in this case are bailable except section 109(1) of BNS but from the facts of the case on offence under Section 109(1) BNS is made out.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 26.03.2026 at around 07:30 PM, all the FIR named accused including these petitioners were abusing and threatening the informant for throwing drainage water in front of the house of informant and when he asked them not to abuse, these petitioners along with other accused have assaulted the informant and his family members and injured them. The injury report of all the injured attached with case diary showing injuries to them are simple in nature except some of injuries which are reserved for opinion. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a quarrel was occurred between the parties on the date of occurrence in which both sides have received injuries and petitioners have also lodged Mohania PS Case No. 226/2026 against the informant and others regarding the occurrence. It is further submitted that injured from both the sides are discharged from hospital after treatment. Petitioners are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 3 of their bail petition. It is further submitted that the informant and petitioners are gotiya dayad and allegations against these petitioners are general and omnibus.
6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge