

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 170/2026/ Filing No.2505/2026)**

In re:

1. Kamlesh Bind, aged 33 years,
S/o Ram Sakhi Bind
R/o Vill-Bahuara, P.S-Sonhan, Dist-Kaimur(Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

**Complaint Case No: 905/2021
U/s: 498A IPC & 3,4 DP Act
In J.C: 22.02.2026
Date Of Order: 09.04.2026**

-
1. Heard Sri Paramhansh Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that it is a case of misuse of the privilege of bail granted earlier. It is further submitted that the petitioner being labourer had gone outside his home to earn his livelihood and the date fix in the trial has not been communicated by his Ld. Counsel due to which the petitioner could not appear before the trial court however, the petitioner undertakes at bar that he shall be punctual in attending the court in future and he further undertakes to comply with the conditions imposed earlier. It is further submitted that the petitioner has sufficiently been suffered by remaining in jail custody for a period more than a fortnight.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. Its a matrimonial dispute petitioner is the husband of complainant and he is in judicial custody since 22.02.2026. Allegations against him are that he along with his family member have harassed and tortured the complainant for non fulfillment of dowry demands and finally move her out of matrimonial house. It is submitted on behalf of peti-

tioner that earlier he was granted anticipatory bail by the Hon'ble High Court Patna, with a direction to pay the complainant Rs. 3000/- per month but he failed to pay the required amount and finally his bail bond was cancelled by the Ld. Trial Court vide order dated 16.03.2026. It is further submitted on behalf of petitioner that he is labour and living outside his village for earning livelihood and due to his meager resources, he was unable to pay the required amount on regular basis. It is further submitted that allegation against him are general in nature.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that petitioner is in judicial custody for around one and a half month and this bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge