

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 563/2026/ Filing No. 2468/2026)**

In re:

1. Bisuni Kumar Singh @ Bishnu Singh, aged 24 years,
2. Krishna Kumar Singh @ Krishna Yadav, aged 21 years,
3. Nitish Kumar Singh @ Nitish Yadav, aged 19 years,
All Ss/o Loka Singh @ Loknath Singh,
4. Loka Singh, aged 48 years,
S/o Amardev Singh,
All R/o Vill- Paikauli, P.S- Ramgarh, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 78/2026
P.S : Ramgarh
U/s: 115(2),126(2),76,351(2),
351(3),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 18.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Vijay Shankar Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying the allegation levelled against the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that no such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place rather, the informant and the petitioners are members of the common family and due to land dispute between the parties a simple altercation took place between the the parties and being annoyed with it, this false case has been lodged by exaggerating the real fact, however, the allegation of disrobing the informant is super-addition and no case U/s 76 of BNS is made out while the rests sections invoked in this case are bailable and thus, its is prayed that the petitioners may be granted anticipatory bail.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the the perusal of record that Petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them as per FIR are that on dated 18.03.2026 at around 09:00 AM, the informant was sleeping at her house, in the meantime, these petitioners entered the house of informant and misbehaved with her and beaten her. The injury report of the informant is mentioned in para 22 of case diary showing abrasion on forehead which is simple in nature caused by HBS. Petitioner No. 4 has criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 19 of case diary, one more case against him is pending in which he is stated to be on bail and rests petitioners have no criminal antecedent. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that both informant and petitioners belong to same family and there is land dispute going between them and no such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge