

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 560/2026/ Filing No. 2454/2026)**

In re:

1. Kaushar Ansari, aged 50 years,
S/o Haqumaddin Ansari,
2. Mansab Ansari, aged 28 years,
S/o Haqumaddin Ansari,
3. Gauhar Ansari, aged 35 years,
S/o Haqumaddin Ansari,
4. Saddam Ansari, aged 25 years,
S/o Haqumaddin Ansari,
5. Akhatari Khatoon, aged 45 years,
W/o Kaushar Ansari,
All R/o Vill- Chainpur, P.S- Chainpur, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 125/2026
P.S : Chainpur
U/s: 191(2),190,126(2),115(2),109,
74,117(2),303(2),352,351(2)BNS
Date Of Order: 18.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Sanjay Kumar Upadhyay, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of petitioners that this case is the outcome of land dispute going on between the parties, moreover there is no specific allegation of any overt act against the petitioners whereas except one injury i.e. injury no. 2 to injured Jabbar Ansari, being fractured of left hand to which the doctor has opined to be grievous but the same is on non vital part of the body, rests injuries are simple in nature and allegation U/s 74 and 303(2) of BNS are super-addition.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.
5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them as per FIR are that on dated 16.03.2026 at around 08:30 AM, the informant left his house for school to attend his classes and when he was on the way, these petitioners surrounded him and assaulted him with iron rod, sword etc. and injured him and when father of informant and other family members came to his rescue, they were also beaten by the petitioners. The injury report of the injured are attached with case diary showing one laceration injury over head to informant which is simple in nature caused by hard blunt object and pain and swelling on the left hand of father of informant which is grievous caused by blunt object. Petitioners have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 23 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that a land dispute is going on between the parties. It is further submitted that no such incident as alleged was taken place and injury received by the informant and his father are not matched with the accusation in FIR and from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them petitioners.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge