

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA  
(BP No. 168/2026/ Filing No.2448/2025)**

In re:

1. Arvind Ram, aged 30 years,  
S/o Ram Chandra Ram,  
R/o Vill-Pandey Deharian, P.S-Mohania, Dist-Kaimur(Bhabua)

V/s  
STATE

**FIR No: 818/2025**  
**P.S : Mohania**  
**U/s: 334(1),303(2),317(1),3(5) BNS**  
**In J.C: 18.09.2025.**  
**Date Of Order: 17.04.2026**

- 
1. Heard Sri Ashok Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
  2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
  3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case, however, co-accused Indraprasad and Guddu Kumar Chaudhary having similar situated allegation, have been granted bail vide order passed in BP no. 602/2025 and 678/2025 while the petitioner has been in jail custody for about six months whereas the investigation of the case has already been completed and charge sheet against the petitioner has already been submitted in this case.
  4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
  5. Heard the arguments of both sides and perused the case record. It appears from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and he is in judicial custody since 19.09.2025. Allegations against him as per FIR are that on dated 18.09.2025 at around 05:00 AM, the neighbour of informant has informed that some noise is coming from his hardware shop at Mohania and someone is inside the shop. On hearing

this, he along with his brother reached the shop and saw three persons were coming down from the under construction house behind his shop having aluminium sections. They were apprehended with the help of neighbours and on inquiry, they disclosed their name and this petitioner was one among them and a total 20 aluminium section pieces were recovered from their possession. A motorcycle bearing registration no. UP65 BL8403 was also seized from the place of occurrence which was found to be stolen. Charge sheet against this petitioner has already been filed. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that he has not committed any theft nor stolen any property nor anything was recovered from the possession of this petitioner. It is further submitted that petitioner has nothing to do with the seized motorcycle. It is further submitted that informant has himself produced section pipes to the police and got him arrested in connivance with the police. It is further submitted that there was a dispute occurred between the informant and accused persons while purchasing aluminium sections and due to this reason, he has been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 32 of case diary.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that charge sheet against this petitioner has already been filed and he is in judicial custody for around seven months, this bail petition is **allowed** and the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag  
Sessions Judge