

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(BP No. 165/2026/ Filing No.2410/2026)**

In re:

1. Vishnu Yadav, aged 19 years,
S/o Suresh Yadav,
R/o Vill-Nuaon, P.S.- Nuaon, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 05/2026
P.S : Nuaon
U/s: 191(2,3),190,126(2),127(2),
303(2),308(5),352,351(2) BNS
In J.C: 03.03.2026.
Date Of Order:07.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Kaushalpati Pandey, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that this case is the outcome of road rage moreover, the parties have now compromise the case and filed a compromise petition which is on record whereas the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for granting bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and in judicial custody since 03.03.2026. Allegation against him as per FIR are that on dated 01.02.2026 at around 09:30 PM, the informant was returning to his house after making collection from shop keepers and when he reached near Akhini Road tiyara minor canal, six persons on three motor bike surrounded him and dragged him out of his vehicle and thereafter, abused him and snatched Rs. 60000/- from his pocket. This petitioner was

one among them and was identified by the informant. The injury report of informant is attached with case diary showing pain and swelling which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt substance. Petitioner has criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 23 of case diary, one more case is pending against him in which he is stated to be on bail. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that a dispute was occurred between the parties on the date of occurrence for not giving side to the motor cycle. It is further submitted that a compromise has also arrived between the parties with the intervention of well wishers of both sides and a copy of compromise agreement is also filed on record. Further, informant is present in court and stated that he has no grievance left against the petitioner and he has no objection if bail petition of this petitioner is considered.

6. Considering facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone and this bail petition is **allowed** and this petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties with like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge