

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 543/2026/ Filing No. 2326/2026)**

In re:

1. Mukesh Singh, aged 26 years,
S/o Chhathu Singh @ Chhotu Singh,
R/o Vill- Chhawon, P.S- Durgawati, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).
2. Vikash Yadav, aged 24 years,
S/o Mangal Yadav,
R/o Vill- Dhanechha, P.S- Durgawati, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).
3. Manish Singh, aged 24 years,
S/o Kameshwar Singh,
4. Kishan Singh @ Ganpati Singh,
Both R/o Vill- Dharahara, P.S- Durgawati, Distt.-Kaimur (Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 101/2026
P.S : Durgawati
U/s: 126(2),115(2),118(1),109(1),
324(4),303(2),352,351(2),3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 18.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on the point of supply of sub-standard quality of goods by the informant, however, there is no direct and specific allegation of overt act against the petitioners whereas the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature caused by hard & blunt substance and allegation of commission of theft is super-addition.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them as per FIR are that the informant this case is running a tea shop and hotel near Indian petrol pump at Durgawati, and these petitioners were consuming intoxicant at his shop and creating nuisance and on dated 19.03.2026 at around 06:00 PM, all of them came and when informant objected, they started abusing him and thereafter, beaten him with pipe and fist cuffs and damaged his shop. The injury report of the informant is attached with case diary showing pain and swelling and scratch over the body which is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. Petitioner No. 1 and 2 have criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 23 of case diary, in which they are stated to on bail. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that allegation against them as per FIR are general in nature, no specific overt act is mentioned against anyone of them and from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against them and the accusation of damaging articles of shop and taking valuables appears to be super-addition as the investigating officer has found nothing during inspection of place of occurrence mentioned in para 6 of case diary.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge