

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 523/2026/ Filing No. 2273/2026)**

In re:

1. Nitish Kumar, aged 23 years,
S/o Yaswant Ram,
2. Narendra Ram, aged 52 years,
S/o Madai Ram,
3. Devanand Ram, aged 24 years,
S/o Gandev Ram @ Ugandev Ram,
4. Devashish Ram @ Devashish Kumar, aged 20 years,
S/o Gandev Ram @ Ugandev Ram,
5. Devachand Ram @ Devachand Kumar, aged 18 years,
S/o Gandev Ram @ Ugandev Ram,
6. Gulshan Ram @ Gulshan Kumar, aged 25 years,
S/o Narendra Ram,
All R/o Vill- Bidamanchak, P.S- Ramgarh, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)
7. Dinesh Ram, aged 20 years,
S/o Manoj Ram,
R/o Vill- Sato Awati Nai Kot, P.S- Ramgarh, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 69/2026
P.S : Ramgarh
U/s: 115(2),126(2),74,110,351(2),
351(3),352,3(5)BNS
Date Of Order: 25.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Arun Kumar Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of petitioners that they are innocent and have been falsely implicated in this

case, moreover, the allegation of assault against the petitioners are omnibus in nature, however, good sense has now been prevailed between the parties and they compromised the case for which compromise petition is on record.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from perusal of record that on dated 12.03.2026 at around 02:30 in the afternoon, while the sister of the informant was going to bring grocery articles, in the meantime petitioner no. 1 cause of started hurling abuses to which the informant protested upon which the FIR named accused persons started assaulting him as a result, he sustained injury on his head. It is submitted that both the parties are co-villagers and next door neighbours and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties, however, the allegation of assault against the petitioners is omnibus in nature and with the intervention of well wishers of the both the parties, they have compromised the case and filed compromise petition. It is further submitted that the injured/informant has already discharged from the hospital after treatment. The informant is present in court in person and has supported the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge