

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 505/2026/ Filing No. 2174/2026)**

In re:

1. Mishir Sharma @ Rajesh Sharma, aged about 29 years,
S/o Chandan Sharma,
2. Panda Kumar @ Ravishankar Sharma, aged about 25 years,
S/o Pradumna Sharma,
3. Shankar Sharma, aged about 22 years,
S/o Pradumna Sharma,
4. Lalu Kumar @ Sudhir Kumar, aged about 23 years,
S/o Pradumna Sharma,
5. Munna Sharma @ Santosh Sharma, aged about 38 years,
S/o Chandan Sharma,
6. Chhotu Sharma @ Raju Kumar, aged about 22 years,
S/o Balram Sharma,
All R/o Vill- Ward No. 10, Rasulpur Karamhari, P.S- Mohania, Distt.-Kaimur
(Bhabua).

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 187/2026

P.S : Mohania

**U/s: 191(2),190,126(2),115(2),109,
352,351(3) BNS**

Date Of Order: 09.04.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Sonu Kumar Ojha, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that both the parties and informant are next door neighbours and due to land dispute, the petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case, however, earlier to the lodging of the instant FIR, the brother of the petitioners lodged Mohania PS Case No. 186/2026 against the informant and in order to save her skin, the false case has been engi-

neered, however, all the sections invoked in this case are bailable except Section 109 BNS but the same is not made out.

4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.
5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegation against them as per FIR that on dated 13.03.2026 at around 10:30 AM, all the FIR named accused came to the house of informant for an old property dispute and started abusing her and when she objected, all of them started assaulting the informant and her family members with lathi, danda etc. and injured them. It is submitted on behalf of petitioners that both the parties are neighbours and a land dispute going on between them for which this incident was occurred in which both sides have received injuries and cross cases is lodged by them against each other. It is further submitted that allegation against these petitioners are in general in nature and they are stated to have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 03 of their bail petition. It is further submitted that injured from both sides were discharged from hospital after treatment.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge