

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,  
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA  
(BP No. 141/2026/ Filing No. 2050/2026)**

In re:

1. Babu Lal Yadav, aged 50 years,  
S/o Late Shivnath Yadav,  
R/o Vill-Bhabua ward no. - 20, P.S-Bhabua, Distt-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s  
STATE

**FIR No: 128/2026**  
**P.S : Bhabua**  
**U/s: 126(2),115(2),109,303(2),**  
**3(5) BNS**  
**In J.C: 18.02.2026**  
**Date Of Order: 09.04.2026**

- 
1. Heard Sri Ritesh Kumar Pathak, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/ petitioner, and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
  2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that he had not filed any bail petition, either anticipatory or regular except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
  3. Alleging false implication of the petitioner, it is submitted on his behalf that both the parties are co-villagers and next door neighbours and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues, however, from the facts of the case, no offence u/s 109(i) of the BNS is made out and the allegation of commission of theft is omnibus in nature while the injuries sustained by the injured/informant have been opined by the doctor to be simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object.
  4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.
  5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the record that on dated 12.02.2026 (para-4 of case diary), while the informant was going to his field for irrigation all the FIR named accused persons including this petitioner having lathi and country made katta in their hands intercepted him and beaten him with

katta and lathi and injured him and also snatched gold chain and cash of Rs. 10,000/- from his person. The injury report attached with the record shows that the injury is sustained by the injured is simple in nature caused by hard and blunt object. The petitioner has criminal antecedent of one case as mentioned in para 30 of the case diary, in which he is stated to be on bail. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that from the accusation coupled with the injury report, no case for the offence u/s 109 IPC appears to be made out and the allegation of commission of theft is omnibus in nature while the rest sections invoked in this case areailable.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances coupled with period of custody undergone petitioner is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the trial court.(Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.  
Sessions Judge