

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 539/2026/ Filing No. 2313/2026)**

In re:

1. Shivmurat Yadav, aged 65 years,
S/o Late Pevaru Yadav
R/o Vill-Lodipur, P.S-Chainpur, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 24/2026
P.S : Mahila
U/s: 126(2), 115(2), 109(1), 351(2),
352 BNS
Date Of Order:07.05.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Raushan Kumar Pathak, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioner and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioner has stated in para 2 of his bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Denying any such occurrence as alleged was ever taken place, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence, moreover, the petitioner is father-in-law of the informant and this case is the outcome of differences cropped up between the parties on trivial issues, moreover, the injuries sustained by the injured are simple in nature caused by hard blunt substance and as such, no case for the offence U/s 109 (1) of BNS is made out and rest sections invoked in this case are bailable.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
 5. Heard the arguments of both the parties and perused the case record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioner is FIR named and allegations against him as per FIR are that on dated 15.03.2026 at around 06:00 AM, the informant is washing the wheat grains in her courtyard, in the meantime, her father-in-law (this petitioner) came and started beating her and injured her. The injury report of the infor-

mant is attached with case diary showing one laceration injury over head which is simple in nature caused by hard blunt object. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 18 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that a quarrel was occurred between informant and her father-in-law related to partition of joint family property and she received injury due to her slipping on the ground and no such incident as alleged was occurred. It is further submitted that from the nature of accusation read with injury report, no case U/s 109 BNS is made out against him.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of his arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this petitioner shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag
Sessions Judge