

**IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS JUDGE,
KAIMUR AT BHABHUA
(ABP No. 435/2026/ Filing No. 1851/2026)**

In re:

1. Laxmikant Choubey, aged 58 years,
S/o Late Baikunth Choubey,
2. Janki Devi, aged 57 years,
W/o Laxmikant Choubey,
3. Priti Kumari, aged 27 years,
D/o Laxmikant Choubey,
4. Chandramani Choubey, aged 35 years,
S/o Laxmikant Choubey,
5. Chanchal Kumari @ Chamcham Kumari, aged 25 years,
D/o Laxmikant Choubey,
All R/o Vill- Salathua, P.S- Kudra, Dist-Kaimur (Bhabua)

V/s
STATE

FIR No: 36/2025
P.S : Kudra
U/s: 329(4),115(2),74,76,303(2),
352,3(5) BNS
Date Of Order: 25.03.2026

-
1. Heard Sri Pramod Kumar Tiwari, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused/petitioners and Sh. Sachidanand Rai, learned P.P. for State.
 2. Petitioners have stated in para 2 of their bail petition that no other bail petition, either anticipatory or regular has been filed/pending except this, either in this court or before Hon'ble High Court at Patna.
 3. Alleging false implication of the petitioners, it is submitted on their behalf that the allegations leveled against the petitioners are false and fabricated, however, both the parties are members of the same family and this case is the outcome of land dispute going on between the parties and the allegations of outraging modesty as well as commission of theft is super-addition.
 4. Learned PP has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Heard both the parties and perused the record. It transpires from the perusal of record that petitioners are FIR named and allegations against them as per FIR are that on dated 22.01.2025 at around 09:00 AM, informant was preparing food at her house, in the meantime, all the accused/petitioners came having weapons in their hands and started abusing the informant and thereafter, assaulted her and snatched away valuable from her person. It transpires from the perusal of para 10 of the case diary that the informant and his family members have no external injury, so, no police requisition, for medical treatment were issued. Petitioners are given benefit of section 35(3) BNSS as mentioned in para 37 of case diary. They have no criminal antecedent as mentioned in para 44 of case diary. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that a quarrel was occurred between the parties for doing construction over the disputed property and from the nature of accusation, no case U/s 74 and 76 BNS are made out against them.
6. Considering the aforesaid fact and circumstances of the case, this anticipatory bail petition is **allowed** and in the event of their arrest or surrender within two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, these petitioners shall be released on bail on their furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of trial court, subject to conditions as laid down u/s 482 of the BNSS. (Dictated)

Sd/- Anurag.
Sessions Judge